Judge To Allow ITT Merger

Williams, Thomas D The Hartford Courant (1923-1992); Sep 24, 1971; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: Hartford Courant pg. 1

Approval Set Today Judge To Allow ITT Merger

By THOMAS D. WILLIAMS

Joseph Blumenfeld Thursday Hartford Fire. said he will approve legal pa- Agreements Intertwined pers today allowing the largest Both government and ITT corporate merger in history, the lawyers maintain that the three purchase of the Hartford Fire In- consent agreements are intersurance Co. by the International twined. They were brought Telephone and Telegraph Corp. about by antitrust suits initiated (ITT).

The judge also indicated he against ITT, would sign approval of another Judge Blumenfeld indicated final judgment requiring ITT to that he would not sign the two divest itself of the fire protec-decrees until he had further tion division of the Grinnell studied a brief filed by lawyers Corp. within two-year period. for consumer advocate Ralph

According to Kaymond Carl- Nader who opposes the comproson, a Justice Department attor- mise between the government ney, Judge Blumenfeld's action and ITT.

will cause simultaneous approv-: During Thursday's hearing, al of a third government-ITT Attys. Dwight Owen Schweitzer consent decree by a federal and Reuben Robertson, Nader's judge in Chicago, Ill. The Chi-representatives, were present, cago judge told government but the judge never asked for lawyers he is waiting for Judge their oral arguments. The two Blumenfeld's decision before al- lawyers appeared anxious to lowing a compromise which speak; however, it is the would require ITT to divest itself judge's prerogative to decide of the Canteen Corp, within the whether their comments would same two-year period. ·be appropriate.

In the most significant agree- At the end of the hearing. ment, ITT must sell its holdings Judge Blumenfeld said his only, in Avis Rent-A-Car, ITT Levitt concern was an "innuendo" in and Sons Inc., ITT Hamilton the Nader brief which hinted

Life and ITT Life Insurance of there might have been either U.S. District Court Judge M. New York in order to keep "bad faith or malfeasance" in the government-ITT agreement.

Nader's attorneys to enter the **TTT-Hartford Fire antitrust case** as amicus curiae (friend of the court). In legal papers they charged that the compromise by the Justice Department

had at least three "severe deficiencies." The alleged faults were: -Allowing the merger of ITT and Hartford Fire "in violation of the Clayton Antitrust Act."... -Failing to spell out adequate divestiture (selling of the com-

Last Friday the judge allowed

panies) enforcement standards and procedures.

-Not making adequate antireciprocity provisions (those agreements preventing cooperative sales between ITT and other companies).

Puzzled by Answers

Nader lawyers also indicated they were puzzled by some Justice Department answers to questions posed by ITT in June, one month before they agreed to a compromise settlement.

One of those questions asks

See JUDGE, Page 28, CoL 4

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Judge Will Approve **ITT-Hartford Merger**

Atty. Carlson whether he be. Despite Nader's objections, it by federal courts adequately of ITT and Hartford Fire is asprotect the public interest in sured within a three year period stopping reciprocal agreements set out in the final judgment.

time, "a decree would not ade- of former Insurance Commisest."

Carlson wrote that with the At Thursday's hearing, Atty. merger every ITT supplier Carlson said . Connecticut's Inwould be "a prospective Hart- surance Commissioner Paul B. ford insurance customer Altermatt has indicated he re-"ITT's purchasing leverage will viewed the consent judgements thus be applied, to the competi- and found no violations of either tive disadvantage of other insur- Cotter's order or the state statance companies, regardless of utes. Earlier, Altermatt, hinted any prohibition against recipro- he might oppose the ITT-Hartcal dealing running against the ford Fire merger.

Continued from Page 1 defendants (ITT)."

lieves injunctive decrees issued would appear that the merger between corporations. Nader's lawyers are also work-'Carlson answered at that ing on a Superior' Court appeal quately protect the public inter- sioner William R. Cotter's deci-. sion to allow the merger.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.