UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

IN RE:	*	
	*	
LAWRENCE DRESSLER	*	**3:13-GP-00027-SRU**
	*	

MOTION FOR REINSTATEMENT

The undersigned Lawrence Dressler hereby requests that he be reinstated to the practice of law in the Federal Court of the District of Connecticut for the following reasons:

1. I entered a guilty plea in the case entitled United States of America v. Lawrence Dressler, Docket No. 3:11-CR-192 (JCH) (the "Criminal Case"), in which judgment entered on March 22, 2014. An Interim Order of Suspension was entered by this Court on October 25, 2013 suspending me from practice before this Court until final disposition of my criminal case and the disciplinary proceedings.
2. The facts and circumstances of my crime giving rise to my accepting a plea of guilty occurred in the years 2007 and early 2008 in which I was involved in seven real estate closings. I have not committed another crime since the year 2008. My supervised release with the Office of Adult Probation ended on 11/1/2018, the earliest time I could apply for reinstatement to the Connecticut Bar. See **Exhibit A,** Discharge from supervised release letter. My role in the conspiracy was minor and handled seven closings with restitution in the amount of $403K. See **Exhibit B**, letter of Attorney William Dow, who represented the ringleader in the conspiracy Joseph Levitin. My co-conspirators, ie.,

Attorneys Jeffrey Weisman and Genevieve Salvatore handled double / triple the number of closings with millions of dollars in restitution. They were readmitted to the practice of law in 2022 and 2023.

3. I applied for reinstatement on 5/20/2020. See docket entry 118.00 of Superior Court case Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Lawrence Dressler, CV14-6076193-S. I waited to file my application for some period after I was qualified because I had read many readmission cases where the applicants were denied on the basis that the Committee felt not enough time had elapsed from the date of the crime until the date the readmission application was filed. My crime was committed almost twenty years ago.
4. I was assigned to the Fairfield County Standing Committee for review, even though the judicial authorities knew I had practiced in New Haven and Fairfield Counties as an attorney, which was against the rules governing the readmission process. I did not object.
5. As part of my first application for reinstatement I had submitted 63 pages of character letters, many from members of good standing with the Connecticut Bar with decades of experience. I passed the MPRE examination and provided whatever information was asked of me by the Statewide Grievance Committee and the Fairfield County Standing Committee. I ended up taking and passing the MPRE no less than four times over the course of the last five years. I submitted documents showing that I was the 10-year conservator / caregiver,

which included work as a nurse's aide, for an elderly World War II veteran who survived some of the bloodiest battles in the European theater. I was appointed conservator by Probate Judge Jack Keyes. Judge Keyes twice wrote a character letter in support of my application. I submitted a letter from the chief doctor of gerontology at the VA Hospital in which she stated: "I am grateful to you for taking such amazing care of Maurice over so many years." See docket entry 168.00, 169.00, 170.00 of Superior Court case Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Lawrence Dressler, CV14-6076193-S.

6. The Statewide Grievance Committee objected to my application largely based on the contents of a blog I had managed and my involvement helping the State's Attorney's Office in a criminal case of State of Connecticut v. Daniel Greer. See docket entry 139.00. I helped State's Attorney Maxine Wilensky, now retired, with the prosecution, which led to the conviction of Rabbi Greer after a jury trial for child molestation. Max had indicated to me early in the prosecution that she would help me with my application for reinstatement, as I had provided her with invaluable assistance in her prosecution, going so far as to text her messages during a court hearing on how to conduct the cross examination of Mr. Greer's wife. When my attorney reached out to her asking her for a letter of recommendation, she told my attorney at the time, ie., Chris DeMarco, that the State of Connecticut would not allow her to help me with my readmission application. Mr. Greer has several

on-going cases challenging his conviction. See Daniel Greer v. State of Connecticut, CV23-6129032, docket entry 123.00. See also Daniel Greer v. Commissioner of Corrections, CV24-5001864. I am expected to testify at those proceedings.

7. During my hearing on my first application for reinstatement before the Fairfield County Standing Committee, I was subjected to a full day of attacks, largely based on my blog. The Committee attacked me for a blog I wrote about the reinstatement matter of Joseph Ganim of which the same Fairfield County Standing Committee had once approved many years ago, which approval was subsequently overruled. (I have transcripts of said hearings if this Court needs copies).

8. My proposed mentor, ie., New Haven Assistant Corporation Counsel Joseph Merly, was subjected to repeated attacks to his integrity about his role as my trustee when I was first suspended. Mr. Merly's work as trustee was never questioned by the SGC at the time he closed his file on my trust account a few years prior;

9. My hearing before the Fairfield County Committee continued for the entire day. Prior to the scheduling of the second hearing my attorney Chris DeMarco advised me to withdraw my application after he had spoken with New Haven Corporation Counsel Pat King, former counsel at the SGC (Statewide Grievance Committee), who told him that the vitriol directed at me would be articulated in a lengthy report which would be unfairly used against me at future hearings. Ms. King added

that she left the SGC because of the petty "politics" of the CT Bar authorities.

10. On the date of 1/11/22 I filed my second application for reinstatement. See docket entry 145.00. The second application was also assigned to the Fairfield County Standing Committee. I once again did not object to the Fairfield County Standing Committee assignment even though I had previously practiced in Fairfield County.

11. During a full day of hearing on my second readmission application Douglas Mahoney of the Fairfield County Standing Committee was angered that I had withdrawn my prior application and questioned the SGC as to why they had not objected to my withdrawal. I had a law office next door to attorney Mahoney, as well as Panel member Cindy Robinson, when they worked for Tremont & Sheldon in Bridgeport some years prior and frequently spoke with them in the shared parking lot. I even helped Mr. Mahoney search the downtown Bridgeport area for a homeless man who used the shared driveway as a bathroom, leaving toilet paper in the driveway. Neither Mr. Mahoney nor Ms. Robinson sought to disqualify themselves from my Panel. I never objected because I did not wish to draw attention to myself. Ironically, Panel member James Butler disqualified himself from my panel because he had worked for the same law firm as my attorney. Mr. Butler raised this issue at Attorney Jeffrey Weisman's reinstatement

hearing, but Attorney Weisman did not object to Mr. Butler serving on his Panel.

12. During the hearing on my second application, the SGC and the Standing Committee once again questioned me about the contents of my blog. I assured them that I had tried to take down my blog, but it was still showing up on the Internet. They were very angry that they were able to access my blog.

13. On 9/2/22 the Fairfield County Standing Committee issued a report not recommending my reinstatement to the Bar. Docket entry 161. The report contained numerous factual inaccuracies as well as facts that I was never given an adequate opportunity to rebut. "The applicant should be given a reasonable opportunity to rebut or explain any adverse evidence." Scott v. State Bar Examining Committee, 220 Conn. 812, 827 (1992). I filed a lengthy memorandum describing in detail each factual inaccuracy. (10/24/22, docket number 167.00). I also raised the issue of my rights under the First Amendment. I filed a supplemental memorandum on 12/1/22, docket entry 171.00, in which I raised the issue of a conflict of interest on the part of the Chairperson of the Committee, ie., Kathleen Dunn, who is married to State's Attorney Kevin Dunn, who was appointed Executive Director of the Judicial Review Council by Judge James Abrams. See pages 5-6 of my supplemental memorandum. Kevin Dunn told my attorney George D'Amico at the time of the hearing that I would have no problem getting

readmitted. (See **Exhibit C**, text message of Attorney George D'Amico). Kevin Dunn lives with his wife Kathleen Dunn down the street from my parents on Fairfield Beach, a house that was once owned by Kevin's parents, whom I also knew. The Dunn family is friendly with several of my relatives who also live in Fairfield. Kathleen Dunn never raised an issue of disqualification, and I did not object to her serving on my Panel. I didn't want to be seen as being difficult.

14. The Fairfield County Committee report listed numerous reasons why I should not be readmitted yet a few weeks after the decision, Panel member Robert Lotty told my attorney that the entire panel felt that the main reason for my denial was my blog. Another Panel member forgot to turn off his video and was heard complaining to his secretary on the Teams meeting that the hearing was going to drag into the afternoon after the lunch break.

15. The Standing Committee report and my memorandum and supplemental memorandum were reviewed by Judge James Abrams of the three-judge panel. Judge Abrams approved the recommendation of the Standing Committee yet failed to address any of the issues I raised in my memorandum or supplemental memorandum. See docket entries 145.10, 145.50. The members of the Panel did not ask me a single question about the issues I raised in my memorandum. The SGC never filed an opposition to my memorandums nor raise any objection at the hearing as to my

recitation of the facts contained therein. I chose to wait one year to refile my application for reinstatement, rather than file a time-consuming appeal of Judge Abrams' order.

16. On the date of 3/11/24 I filed my third application for reinstatement. My case was assigned to the Hartford County Standing Committee. See docket entries 172.00, 173.00, 174.00, and 175.00. I self-censored and completely removed my blog from the Internet for at least two years prior to filing my third application. "A speaker may be unsure about the side of a line on which his speech falls. Or he may worry that the legal system will err, and count speech that is permissible as instead not. Or he may simply be concerned about the expense of becoming entangled in the legal system. The result is 'self-censorship' of speech that could not be proscribed — a 'cautious and restrictive exercise' of First Amendment freedoms." Counterman v. Colorado, 143 S. Ct. 2106, 2115 (2023).

17. Soon after I filed my third reinstatement application Chairman Gary Friedle demanded that I obtain Superior Court permission to work as a paralegal and that he would not conduct my hearing unless I had prior permission. Mr. Friedle was completely unaware of the ethical rules concerning suspended lawyers working as paralegals. (See **Exhibit D** –Friedle emails). Mr. Friedle insisted that I was not allowed to work as a paralegal without Superior Court permission despite the Rule stating the contrary, and despite the SGC allowing me to work as a paralegal.

I proposed that I terminate my paralegal employment rather than argue with Mr. Friedle about whether I can work as a paralegal. Mr. Friedle never got back to me with a response. I was not given any opportunity to rebut ex-parte communications concerning my work as a paralegal between Mr. Friedle and the SGC. Mr. Friedle provided me with an email chain between himself and the SGC to show me the legal issues he and the SGC had concerning my work as a paralegal. "The applicant should be given a reasonable opportunity to rebut or explain any adverse evidence." Scott v. State Bar Examining Committee, 220 Conn. 812, 827 (1992). I would guess that the SCG regularly engages in ex-parte communications with the members of the Examining Committees, communications that are never disclosed to the applicant, which does not give the applicant the opportunity to rebut or explain.

18. During my first hearing before the Hartford County Standing Committee on October 9, 2024, I was once again questioned repeatedly about my blog and was requested to shout for seven hours because Chairman Friedle couldn't hear me. I was also subjected to ad hominin attacks to my honesty.

19. During my first full day of hearing before the Hartford Committee I was told that my explanations of my crime, prior grievances and prior malpractice cases were insufficient, and I had to provide an affidavit explaining these areas in detail, which resulted in many months of delay in my case. I filed a lengthy affidavit on 3/25/24, docket number

182.00. At my next hearing I was not asked a single question about my affidavit. I believe I was the only applicant in recent history who was required to submit such an affidavit. My hearing also had to be delayed because Mr. Friedle refused to provide me with copies of his exhibits, which I wished to review prior to the next scheduled hearing. For several weeks Mr. Friedle insisted that he provided these documents, yet could produce no email or other evidence showing that they were sent to me or my attorney.

20. Prior to my final hearing I was asked by Mr. Friedle whether I would agree to excuse Attorney John Nolan's presence as Mr. Nolan would be unable to attend. I told him that I would prefer to have the full Panel, including Mr. Nolan, present. Mr. Friedle stated that my request was "denied." Mr. Friedle never informed me that Mr. Nolan had died which was the reason Mr. Nolan could not attend. At my final hearing Mr. Friedle asked if I would agree to allow a new member Attorney Barnett to sit on my Panel, and indicated that Ms. Barnett had "read the transcript" of the prior hearing. I agreed to Ms. Barnett sitting on my panel even though I had no idea that she was replacing Mr. Nolan. Ms. Barnett didn't ask me a single question. At the end of my hearing Mr. Friedle conducted a memorial service for John Nolan. Employees from the Clerk's Office appeared at my hearing and stayed for the memorial service. I never knew Mr. Nolan died until the memorial service started.

21. The Hartford Standing Committee issued its report on 4/3/25 not recommending my readmission to the Bar, with a strong dissent based on First Amendment grounds. See Docket entries 190.00, 191.00. The report was issued beyond the 30-day deadline after the hearings were concluded. A court hearing on whether to approve the report usually gets scheduled within a few weeks of the Standing Committee report. Almost two months have passed and a hearing has yet to be scheduled. I can only speculate that the Superior Court is waiting for Mr. Friedle to file his Exhibits that were referenced in his initial report and his amended report, which he filed because he mislabeled the exhibits. After his initial report was filed I asked Mr. Friedle why he didn't file the exhibits. (See **Exhibit D**). He said that the exhibits were over 800 pages long and he didn't have the ability to scan them, and he was going to bring them to the Clerks Office the following week, which apparently never occurred. (Mr. Friedle was unable to attend a Teams remote meeting with the SGC and me because he admittedly lacked the technical skills and capabilities to log on but told us to proceed without him. He mislabeled and mixed up most of the Exhibits, which prompted the SGC to hold the Teams meeting. He never filed the Exhibits on the judicial website with his written report and seemed to only realize that he had to file them after I asked him why they weren't filed.)

22. The Hartford Committee based its recommendation on the fact that I failed to literally "read their minds" and determine that they wanted me to remove the contents of my blog from an archive website, ie., the wayback machine. The Committee never told me to remove copies of my blog on the wayback machine. Copies and references to my blog appear all over the Internet as well as on YouTube. The Committee never asked me to remove my blog from other platforms.

23. Mr. Friedle conducted an analysis of my First Amendments and indicated at my hearing that I had the First Amendment right to write a blog but my First Amendment rights were "limited." "It cannot be seriously asserted that a private citizen surrenders his right to freedom of expression when he becomes a licensed attorney in this state. The United States Supreme Court has built a substantial line of cases where the Constitution has been read to limit and restrain the state's power to prescribe standards of conduct for attorneys." Polk v. State Bar of Texas, 374 F. Supp. 784 (ND Tx 1974) citing Spevack v. Klein, 385 U.S. 511, 87 S.Ct. 625, 17 L.Ed.2d 574 (1967); NAACP v. Button, 371 U. S. 415, 83 S.Ct. 328, 9 L.Ed.2d 405 (1963); Konigsberg v. State Bar of California, 353 U.S. 252, 77 S.Ct. 772, 1 L. Ed.2d 810 (1957).

24. During my first hearing before the Fairfield County Panel SGC Marie-Louise Villar attacked me for failing to respect the "rights" of Rabbi Greer. At the hearing before the Hartford Committee SGC Marie-Louise Villar once again rebuked me in closing arguments for

"inserting" myself into the Greer case. Had I not "inserted" myself into the Greer case Mr. Greer, along with his many protectors and enablers, who were never investigated, would still be running a child sex trafficking operation to this day. I have no regrets that my blog warned parents of Mr. Greer, even if it caused the "de facto lifetime suspension" of my law license. (See Hartford Committee dissenting opinion). Greer was convicted of crimes which Judge Alander described at sentencing as "monstrous."

25. The Hartford Committee based its recommendation on the fact that my application was somehow "incomplete." For the Hartford Committee to base its recommendation on my "incomplete" application clearly was pretextual. I am unaware of any prior applicant for readmission who was given a negative recommendation due to an "incomplete application." Almost every item mentioned by the Panel as being "incomplete" in my prior applications was addressed in detail in my countless court filings going back to the year 2000. I testified repeatedly in prior hearings about every issue that was deemed "incomplete." For example, I repeatedly spoke about my prior employment with Mr. Schupper in prior hearings, submitted documents explaining my employment, and the SGC even contacted Mr. Schupper and asked him questions about my employment. My application was no more "incomplete" than the countless applicants who received a favorable report.

26. Whatever errors the Panel found in my application were far less serious than the errors in the applications of my co-defendant in my criminal case, Attorney Genevieve Salvatore, who was readmitted after one application, see Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Salvatore, CV14-6015687. A foreclosure action, ie., Citibank v. Salvatore, CV23-6052078-S, was pending during Ms. Salvatore's readmission hearing which she did not list on her application or mention during her hearing. After I raised Ms. Salvatore's foreclosure case not being disclosed in her application process to the SGC and the Harford Standing Committee, Ms. Salvatore's readmission case was completely removed from the judicial website and can only be accessed by going to the Clerk's Office in Waterbury and requesting the physical file. My other co-defendant in my criminal case, ie., attorney Jeffrey Weisman, was readmitted after one hearing, even though his restitution order is close to $2 million and he was a ringleader in the conspiracy. My restitution order is $403K, which I expect to pay back in full. Ms. Salvatore and Mr. Weisman had other uncharged crimes, ie., failure to file tax returns, and forging documents.

27. Joseph Ganim was recommended by individual Panel member Rene Rosado for readmission even though he failed to complete his required CLE credits. Mr. Rosado, who did not recommend me, found Mr. Ganim's application more complete than my application even though Mr. Ganim's application was subject to a SGC motion to dismiss for

failing to complete his CLE credits. Guy McDonough was recently recommended for reinstatement after three driving while intoxicated arrests, with conditions that he attend AA meetings, mental health counseling and random alcohol testing. Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Guy McDonough, CV13-6045715S. Mr. McDonough was not asked to submit a detailed affidavit explaining the circumstances that led him to get intoxicated and put the public in grave danger when he put the keys in his car no less than three times. My co-defendant Attorney Weisman was not required to submit lengthy explanations of his crime nor was he asked for a detailed history of his gambling, alcohol and drug addictions, even though he was one of the ringleaders of my conspiracy and was far more involved in criminal activity than I. Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Jeffrey Weisman, CV13-6045715S. Mr. Weisman was ordered fully readmitted to the Connecticut Bar on 4/12/22.

28. After I withdrew my first application for reinstatement before the Fairfield County Committee I was contacted by SGC counsel Elizabeth Rowe, who asked me if I could provide her with damaging information about my co-defendant Jeffrey Weisman, who had filed his application for reinstatement. Ms. Rowe apologized to me for her ad hominin attacks against me at my first hearing and seemed to imply that if I helped her with Mr. Weisman she would go easy on me when I

reapplied. I told her that I had no damaging information concerning Mr. Weisman.

29. In its report the Hartford Committee noted that I could not recall the grievances that were filed by me and that the number of grievances was problematic. I was not given an adequate opportunity to rebut this evidence. The applicant should be given a reasonable opportunity to rebut or explain any adverse evidence." Scott v. State Bar Examining Committee, 220 Conn. 812, 827 (1992). In my memorandum filed on 10/24/22, docket entry 167.00, I explained to the Fairfield County Panel the background of the grievances, and did explain in testimony before the Fairfield County Panel information about certain lawsuits filed, which testimony was part of the Exhibits entered into this case by this Panel. The Hartford Panel had all this information as part of the record yet asked me to explain what happened many years ago without giving me the chance to review the records.

30. This Hartford Committee found that I had failed to adequately represent myself, as a pro se litigant, before the Standing Committee. I am unaware of any prior applicant who was denied based on these grounds. Plus, I was not given an adequate opportunity to rebut this evidence. A review of my entire file would have disclosed the following facts: I successfully represented myself in numerous cases over the years since I was suspended from the practice of law. In Dressler v. Wycliff Brown, CV22-5005434, I successfully represented

myself in an eviction of one of my tenants. In the case of Dressler v. Stephen Saslafsky, I successfully represented myself in the housing court in an eviction in which the defendant was represented by retired Probate Judge John Keyes. John Keyes wrote a character letter in which he referred to the eviction and complimented me in my dealings with him on the case. I successfully represented myself in the Federal case of Mirlis v. Greer 3:16cv678, in which Judge Shea ordered that a videotape deposition of Avi Hack be released to me, which was reversed on appeal. I filed appellate briefs, the record and all related documents before the United States Second Circuit Court of Appeals and argued the case before the three Judge panel. I successfully represented myself in that same case when the Attorneys for Mr. Greer attempted to have me banned from attending the trial and Judge Shea rebuked said Attorneys in open court. (The grievances I filed against the attorneys who got admonished by Judge Shea were dismissed by the SGC. I was admonished by the SGC and this Panel for filing grievances against these attorneys who made false representations to a Federal Judge, while at the same time admonished by the SGC for failing to file grievances against my attorney co-defendants in my criminal matter). I successfully represented myself in the State v. Greer criminal matter in which a Motion for Sequestration was filed to have me banned from attending that trial. I successfully represented myself when Mr. Greer tried to get a restraining order against me in a

separate case. I successfully represented myself when Mr. Greer sued me for defamation. I represented myself in the matter of Dressler v. Riccio, CV17-6072589, in which I lost on summary judgment but filed an appeal in which I filed the appellate brief and transcripts and related documents, and argued before the Connecticut Appellate Court, in a case of first impression. I successfully represented myself in a grievance and a lawsuit filed against me by another lawyer while I was incarcerated. I represented myself in post judgment motions in my criminal case before the Federal Court in which I filed memorandums of law and supporting exhibits. I worked as a paralegal for Attorney Zachary Lawrence, who submitted an email to Mr. Friedle extolling my legal skills in preparing legal documents. I successfully represented myself before Probate Judge John Keyes in a voluntary conservatorship application in which I was appointed conservator over the person of Maurice Gorowsky. In many States, including New York and California, I would have been disqualified from serving as a conservator of the person with my criminal record. I represented myself for the most part in my prior applications before the Fairfield County Panel yet that Panel had no issues with the way I represented myself. I successfully represented myself in a collection matter brought by SNET Yellow pages and negotiated a settlement.

31. There is one issue that raises concern as to the fairness of my hearing before the Hartford Committee. I briefly was represented by Attorney

James Sullivan for the first hearing before the Hartford Standing Committee but not the final hearing. Attorney James Sullivan was referred to me by former SGC counsel Suzanne Sutton, who indicated that she would not be able to help but Mr. Sullivan would be influential because he had the right "connections" with the Hartford Standing Committee. Mr. Sullivan told me repeatedly that the only thing that mattered were his personal relationships with several members of the Panel, whom he went on to describe in detail. (**See Exhibit E**). Mr. Sullivan ominously warned me in writing that if I terminated his representation, I would not have a chance with this Committee. (See **Exhibit E**). Mr. Sullivan is considered an expert in Connecticut legal ethics and recently co-authored a 350-page book with former SGC counsel Mark DuBois on legal ethics which they dedicated to "attorneys, lay volunteers, investigators and staff of the Statewide Grievance Committee, Office of the Statewide Bar Counsel, Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel and Lawyers Concerned for Lawyers."

32. The reinstatement process has recently become focused on matters having nothing to do with an applicant's "present fitness" to practice law. The SGC and the Fairfield County Standing Committee questioned my co-defendant Salvatore about a website she had created to help mothers with disabled children, as well as questioned her about her personal Facebook postings. Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Genevive Salvatore, CV14-6015687. Ms. Salvatore was rebuked for

failing to accurately add up her CLE hours on her application. I was rebuked for a discrepancy in the calculation of my community hours in my different applications. Maurizio Lancia was questioned about why he did not score highly on his MPRE exam, even though he passed and was fully qualified to file his application. CDC v. Lancia, Maurizio, CV12-6027678.

33. Ms. Salvatore was readmitted after filing just one application, even though she closed twice as many loans as I, had more prior grievances than I, had double the restitution order as I, and admitted that she committed additional criminal acts such as having her office forge client's signatures. Ms. Salvatore and my co-defendant Attorney Jeffrey Weisman were both given favorable recommendations by the Fairfield County Standing Committee. Mr. Weisman was the personal attorney to the ringleader of the conspiracy, committed numerous acts of fraud way in excess of the seven closings I handled, had over two million dollars in restitution order that will never be paid back, committed additional criminal acts of failing to file his tax returns, and had been in recovery for gambling, alcohol and drug addictions.

34. I was never given the opportunity to request that Hartford County Committee member Jane Morgan disqualify herself from the Panel. Jane Morgan should have recused herself based on my extensive past and present dealings with her office. The New Haven States Attorney Office is currently involved in two highly contested cases involving

convicted pedophile Daniel Greer which has touched on issues of prosecutorial misconduct, which I have been subpoenaed as a witness to testify about. I was also mentioned in a police report involving a case in her office in which I could possibly be called a witness. I was assigned to the Hartford Panel because it was outside the Judicial District in which I practice, a rule enacted after the Ganim case to maintain an impartial Panel. Ms. Morgan would have known that I practiced in New Haven and was involved in these Greer cases, and other cases, if she had read my entire file going back to 2014. I find it odd that the only blog posting I was questioned about in detail during my hearings was the one criticizing Gail Hardy, a fellow Connecticut State's Attorney. I find it odd that a member of the Executive Branch of Government was reviewing my application for reinstatement to the Connecticut Bar which raises the Constitutional issue of separation of powers.

35. Attorney Norm Pattis, who was never subjected to any sanction by the SGC, OCDC or the Connecticut Judiciary wrote the following on his blog: "The judge is stunned by my misconduct. Me, I am stunned the state trusts her with a robe and a gavel. Folks are afraid of this judge, and not for good reason. Why would the judge do this? I think Emerson teaches why: 'When you strike at a king, you must kill him.' The same, apparently, applied to queens. My office had moved to disqualify the judge, taken emergency interlocutory appeals to the state Supreme

Court -- one of which went to full briefing, and otherwise challenged her ability to be fair and impartial to Alex Jones. We clashed in a televised trial versus Jones, a trial in which she so lost control of the proceedings that she at one point sat gaping as a plaintiff's lawyer and Jones exchanged heated words; I shouted objections as though to an empty room. We're preparing to appeal the $1.5 billion default judgment against Jones, a case we believe this judge butchered with her rulings." In an article published by the New Haven Register, Attorney Norm Pattis wrote in great detail about how retired Justice Joette Katz, "lost her mind... the rage to incarcerate is addictive. Joette Katz and her therapeutic playmates need treatment."

36. In the case of State of Connecticut Judicial Branch v. Office of Public Hearings, CHRO, CV23-6076978, currently on appeal, AC 48127, the CHRO found probable cause that the Hartford County Standing Committee's delay actions, chaired by Gary Friedle, constituted retaliatory conduct by the Judicial Branch. Ms. Smalls' First Amendment protection action of filing a complaint against the CT Judiciary was deemed "slandering" the Judiciary by the SGC in its reports. Ms. Miller cited a report of the OCDC that stated the following: "In its report, the SGC and OCDC state it would be detrimental to the integrity of the bar, the court system and subversive to the public interest to permit the Applicant to continue to **slander** the court system in Connecticut." (Emphasis added). Similarly, the SGC attacked me

for asserting my Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in the case of State of Connecticut v. Daniel Greer; attacked me for exercising my First Amendment right to writing a blog; attacked me for filing grievances against attorneys who attempted to bar me from the Federal Courthouse (Federal Judge Shea rebuked said attorneys for submitting an unsigned restraining order against me); attacked me for filing a grievance against Attorney Darcy McGraw who threatened to contact my probation officer and have me sent back to jail for writing a blog about pedophile Rabbi Greer.

37. Local Rule 83.2(f)(2) governs the imposition of reciprocal discipline in the District of Connecticut. In re Williams, 978 F. Supp. 2d 123, 123–24 (D. Conn. 2012). Under Local Rule 83.2(f)(2), when the Grievance Committee petitions for the imposition of reciprocal discipline via a presentment action, the identical discipline must be imposed unless it clearly appears on the face of the record in the prior disciplinary proceeding: a. That the procedure was so lacking in notice or opportunity to be heard as to constitute a deprivation of due process; or b. That there was such an infirmity of proof establishing the misconduct as to give rise to the clear conviction that the Court could not, consistent with its duty, accept as final the discipline imposed; or c. That the imposition of the same discipline by the Court would result in grave injustice; d. Or that the misconduct established is deemed by the Court to warrant substantially different discipline. D. Conn. L. Civ.

R. 83.2(f)(2). If the court finds that one or more of those exceptions exists, it may enter "such other order as it deems appropriate." Id. This Court has granted motions for reinstatement for attorneys who were under long suspensions with the Connecticut State Bar in the cases of In Re Josephine Smalls Miller, 3:18gp00355 and In Re Rebecca Johnson 3:02gp00018.

38. The lone dissenter in the report of the Hartford Standing Committee ominously noted that I was issued a "de facto lifetime suspension." The Fairfield County Standing Committee ominously noted that my suspension should not be "forever" but "more needs to be done" without indicating what exactly had to be done. It remains to be seen how many more years will go by that I will be denied my Connecticut law license. At present the Hartford Standing Committee, chaired by Gary Friedle, has failed to finalize his report by submitting their exhibits and no hearing has been scheduled before a Judge to consider whether the Hartford County Standing Committee's report should be approved. I will be unable to file another application for reinstatement for a full year from the date Judge Patrick Carroll most likely approves Mr. Friedle's report. I am fully up to date on my restitution payments and have paid far more in restitution than my co-defendants who were quickly granted reinstatement by the Connecticut State Court, ie., Attorneys Jeffrey Weisman and Genevive Salvatore. I submitted numerous character letters to the Hartford County Standing

Committee from attorneys with decades of experience who indicated that they would refer cases to me and act as my mentor if needed. Justice delayed is justice denied.

39. The First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution states that "Congress shall make no law… abridging the freedom of speech." The provisions of the First Amendment were made applicable to the State of Connecticut by virtue of adoption of the Fourteenth Amendment. Article I, § 4 of the Connecticut Constitution provides similar protection to speech: "Every citizen may freely speak, write and publish his sentiments on all subjects, being responsible for the abuse of that liberty." The First Amendment fully protects offensive, derogatory, or demeaning speech. Any state effort to single out such speech for sanction is a content-based and viewpoint-based speech restriction and is subject to the strictest of First Amendment scrutiny. Iancu v. Brunetti, 139 S. Ct. 2294 (2019); Matal v. Tam, 137 S. Ct. 1744 (2017). Such speech restrictions will survive First Amendment scrutiny only if the government can demonstrate that the restriction serves a compelling state interest in a narrowly tailored manner. Id.

40. The First Amendment analysis does not change simply because the speech restriction is imposed on a lawyer. "Derogatory" or "demeaning" speech is not subject to decreased constitutional protection simply because it is spoken by a lawyer in a setting "related to the practice of law." The Supreme Court held in Nat'l Inst. of Family

and Life Advocates v. Becerra, 138 S. Ct. 2361 (2018), that the First Amendment protects "professional speech" just as fully as speech by nonprofessionals.

41. I repeatedly raised First Amendment issues before the Fairfield County Standing Committee, the three Judge Panel, led by Hon James Abrams that approved the Fairfield County Committee report, and before the Hartford County Standing Committee. Gary Friedle of the Hartford County Standing Committee addressed my First Amendment rights just once during my two days of hearings. Mr. Friedle stated that my First Amendment rights were "limited" regarding my blog. The Fairfield County Standing Committee as well as the three Judge Panel ignored the issue of my First Amendment rights after I had raised it on numerous occasions. Rather than file a lengthy appeal of Judge Abrams order, I chose to refile my application, after waiting the required one year period of time, and my application got reassigned to the Hartford Standing Committee. I am currently waiting for a hearing to be scheduled before Judge Patrick Carroll who will determine whether to approve the recommendation of the Hartford County Panel. If I am not approved by Judge Carroll my application will languish for more years.

I respectfully request the following relief from this Court: 1) that I be readmitted to the Federal Bar; 2) that this Court summon Hartford Standing Committee Chairman Gary Friedle and / or the Statewide Grievance Committee into this matter and show

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

IN RE:	*	
	*	
LAWRENCE DRESSLER	*	**3:13-GP-00027-SRU**
	*	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this _____ day of May, 2025, a copy of the foregoing document was filed with the Court and served electronically on anyone able to accept electronic filing and served by mail on anyone unable to accept electronic filing.

Notice of this filing will be sent by email to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system or by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing as indicated on the Notice of Electronic filing. The following law firm filed and appearances and is hereby notified by electronic filing:

David B. Zabel, Marino, Zabel & Schellenberg, 657 Orange Center Rd, Orange, CT 06477, dzabel@mzslaw.com.

Lawrence Dressler

cause why Mr. Friedle and the SGC 's actions in repeatedly demanding that I remove my blog, as well as demanding that I remove an archive blog, as a condition to my reinstatement did not violate my First Amendment rights; that the SGC's determination that the assertion of my Fifth Amendment rights in the criminal case of State of Connecticut v. Daniel Greer made me unfit to practice law did not violate my Constitutional rights; that the SGC's determination that my filing a lawsuit and grievances against attorneys made me unfit to practice law did not violate my Constitutional rights; in the alternative; 3) issue an advisory opinion concerning my First Amendment rights that I can use as persuasive authority in the State of Connecticut readmission proceeding, which are still pending. I have attached a copy of the blog posts from the archive website that the majority of the Hartford County Standing Committee submitted and relied upon in its determination that my First Amendment rights were "limited" and that I should not be admitted to the State Bar. (Said exhibit was never authenticated by the Panel as true copies of my original blog posts which I made frequent changes and updates). (**Exhibit F**).

Respectfully Submitted,

Lawrence Dressler, PRO SE
64-10 110th Street
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Ph: 2037108137
Email: lawrencedressler@gmail.com

EXHIBIT A

LF 123
(06/2020)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of Connecticut

U.S. Probation Office

Jesse J. Gomes
Chief United States Probation Officer

157 Church St., 17th Floor
New Haven, CT 06510
Phone: 203-773-2100
Fax: 203-773-2200

09/16/2020

New Haven

450 Main St., Room 735
Hartford, CT 06103
Phone: 860-240-3661
Fax: 860-240-2620

915 Lafayette Blvd., Room 200
Bridgeport, CT 06604
Phone: 203-579-5707
Fax: 203-579-5571

DISCHARGE FROM SUPERVISION

Docket Number: 0205 3:11CR00192-007

Lawrence Dressler
516 Ellsworth Avenue
New Haven, CT 06511

On the court imposed a term of supervised release in the above-captioned matter. Because you have completed service of your sentence you are discharged from the supervision of this office as of 11/01/2018 .

If you have an outstanding balance on a fine, government restitution, or restitution imposed by the court, your liability to pay remains in effect and is enforceable by the United States Government in accordance with the practices and procedures of a civil judgment under federal or state law. Liability under a civil judgment terminates the latter of 20 years from the date of entry of judgment or 20 years after release from imprisonment, or upon death of the individual ordered to pay.

As supervision with this office is terminated, you are hereby instructed to mail all future payments directly to: U.S. District Court Clerk, 141 Church Street, New Haven, CT 06510. Your payment must reference your case docket number. Alternately, you may be able to submit payments online directly through the www.Pay.gov website.

The U.S. Attorney's Office Financial Litigation Unit is now primarily responsible for enforcing payment of your outstanding fine or restitution. All future inquiries regarding your fine or restitution payments should be directed to that office, including questions related to establishing a payment schedule. The Financial Litigation Unit can be reached at (203) 821-3700. If you have any outstanding balance(s), the Financial Litigation Unit has been notified.

Courtney Miller

Courtney Lynn Miller
U.S. Probation Officer

Respectfully Submitted:
Jesse J. Gomes
Chief U.S. Probation Officer

Print Form Save As

EXHIBIT B1

B1

LAW OFFICES OF
Jacobs & Dow, LLC

350 ORANGE STREET
NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06511

TELEPHONE (203) 772-3100
FAX (203) 772-1691
www.JacobsLaw.com

WILLIAM F. DOW, III[1], Of Counsel
ROSEMARIE PAINE[2,3]
ALLISON M. NEAR
KARA E. MOREAU
EMILY KAAS-MANSFIELD

OF COUNSEL
JOHN A. KEYES
RICHARD EMANUEL

[1]Also admitted in Washington D.C.
[2]Board Certified Civil Trial Specialist
[3]Also admitted in New York

HOWARD A. JACOBS
(1924–2015)

FILE NUMBER

February 25, 2025

Gary A. Friedle, Chairman
Committee on Recommendations for Admission
to the Connecticut Bar for Hartford County
114 West Main Street, Suite 307
New Britain, CT 06051

Re: Application for Readmission of Lawrence Dressler

Dear Mr. Friedle:

I have been asked to provide information supporting the application for readmission of Lawrence Dressler.

I am an attorney who practices law in New Haven. I have practiced in Connecticut since 1974 and elsewhere some years before that. I am a member of the Connecticut Bar, the U.S. District Court of Connecticut, and other courts and bars as well.

I have known Lawrence Dressler as a professional for more or less the last 20 years. I know Larry to be an extremely conscientious and dedicated professional. He takes great pride in devoting attention to the details required of the practice of law.

I am familiar with the matter which resulted in his conviction in Federal Court. In fact, I represented one of the principals in that conspiracy. Larry as an attorney was hired to perform the requirements of real estate closings to complete the purchase of properties in the New Haven area. His role was ancillary but a necessary incidental to complete the purchases. To my knowledge, Larry received only the customary fees for his legal work; apart from that he made no extraordinary gain from the work he performed. That legal work, it is fair to say, was almost ministerial.

A number of years have passed since Larry was convicted and sentenced. He has completed supervised release/probation successfully. Larry has dealt with

EXHIBIT B2

A number of years have passed since Larry was convicted and sentenced. He has completed supervised release/probation successfully. Larry has dealt with his criminal conduct responsibly. I believe that he has grown substantially from that experience. He has reoriented his moral compass. I do not believe he will offend in the future.

I support his application for readmission.

Very truly yours,

JACOBS & DOW, LLC

By_____
William F. Dow, III

WFD:lcd

EXHIBIT C


George D'Amico
Active 6m ago
7/6/22, 3:18 PM
stopped by kevin dunn's spoke to him about your application he said if they let weismann in they should let you back i'm sure he will now talk to kathleen
can't hurt

(EXHIBIT D) D1

Gmail

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

Opinion and Dissenting Opinion of the Committee

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com> Fri, Apr 4, 2025 at 10:20 AM
To: Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com>, Marie-Louise Villar <Marie-Louise.Villar@jud.ct.gov>, Grace Palo <grace.palo@jud.ct.gov>

Mr Friedle-

Thank you

Do you plan on filing the exhibits on the judicial website that is normally done in these cases?

Lawrence Dressler

Sent from my iPhone

> On Apr 3, 2025, at 12:55 PM, Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com> wrote:
>
> [Quoted text hidden]
> <4.3.2025 Opinion and Dissenting Opinion of the Committee.pdf>

EXHIBIT D

D2

Gmail

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

Opinion and Dissenting Opinion of the Committee

Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com> Fri, Apr 4, 2025 at 11:14 AM
To: Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>, Marie-Louise Villar <Marie-Louise.Villar@jud.ct.gov>, Grace Palo <grace.palo@jud.ct.gov>

Good morning Mr. Dressler, no because there is probably seven or 800 pages. I have made arrangements with the clerks office of the Waterberry Superior Court to hand. Deliver the exhibits I plan to do so someday next week.

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 4, 2025 10:20:18 AM
To: Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com>; Marie-Louise Villar <Marie-Louise.Villar@jud.ct.gov>; Grace Palo <grace.palo@jud.ct.gov>
Subject: Re: Opinion and Dissenting Opinion of the Committee

[Quoted text hidden]

D2

EXHIBIT D D3

Gmail

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

Application for Reinstatement

4 messages

Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com> Tue, May 28, 2024 at 1:02 PM
To: Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>
Cc: Committee on Recommendations <CommitteeonRecommendations@netorgft14311294.onmicrosoft.com>

Dear Mr. Dressler,

In reviewing your application for readmission to the Bar, the Committee noticed that there is no indication that you are in compliance with Section 247B et seq of the 2024 CT Practice Book entitled, "Restrictions on the Activities of Deactivated Attorneys".

Please note that this provision provides, inter alia, "No deactivated attorney shall be permitted to engage in any law related activities or to be employed as a paralegal or legal assistant unless expressly permitted by the Court as provided in this section".

Another paragraph specifically requires a written order from the Court authorizing certain work to be done by the deactivated attorney.

In his response to an inquiry by an investigator for the Chief Disciplinary Counsel, Attorney Zachary Lawrence said the following as to the type of work you would be performing, "Yes, Larry Dressler does work for me. For the sake of clarity, personally, I would describe the arrangement as a part-time independent contractor. He does legal research for motions and memos that I need to draft, and assists me with discovery in some of my larger cases." It would seem that you would fall within the purview of Section 247 of the Practice Book.

As you know, we have statutory deadlines within which we must work. Under Section 2-53(j) found on page 156 of the Practice Book, "The Standing Committee shall complete work on the application within 180 days of referral from the Chief Justice". The letter from the Chief Court Administrator was dated March 18, 2024 and the Committee received it on March 21, 2024. We would need to schedule the hearing for sometime in August which would then give us a chance to write our opinion and file it accordingly by what my math tells me would be not later than September 13, 2024.

Once we get a reply from you as to what your actual status is, under this section, we will be in a position to schedule a hearing. We want to give you an opportunity to seek compliance if you have not already done so.

Please speak with Attorney Lawrence and get back to me as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

EXHIBIT D D4

Gmail

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

Application for Reinstatement

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com> Tue, May 28, 2024 at 2:08 PM
To: Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com>

Gary-

Did you review paragraph 5 of the Statewide Grievance Committee report that was just filed in my case? It said that provision was not put in effect retroactively and doesn't apply to me.

Feel free to call me to discuss 2037108147

Lawrence

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 28, 2024, at 1:02 PM, Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com> wrote:
>
> [Quoted text hidden]

D4

EXHIBIT D D5

Gmail

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

RE: Lawrence Dressler

Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com> Fri, May 31, 2024 at 3:27 PM
To: "Palo, Grace" <Grace.Palo@jud.ct.gov>
Cc: "Villar, Marie-Louise" <Marie-Louise.Villar@jud.ct.gov>, Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

I understand that the practice book does not prohibit him, but in your first report, you indicated that it did not apply to him. We disagree. I am going to advise Mr. Dressler that he must comply with the practice book regulation, particularly in light of what attorney Lawrence described his paralegal duties. It seems unfair to me for us, not to advise him of our opinion if we do not say anything, and his testimony indicates that he clearly falls under the provisions of the practice book. Then we will be forced to turn him down if we give him notice of our opinion, we are giving him an opportunity to either contest the opinion by going to court or dealing with the matter and then being in compliance so that there would be no questions regarding his status at least as at that point. Thank you.

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Palo, Grace <Grace.Palo@jud.ct.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2024 12:21:13 PM
To: Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com>
Cc: Villar, Marie-Louise <Marie-Louise.Villar@jud.ct.gov>; Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Lawrence Dressler

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT E E1

Gmail

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

Re: SGC v. Dressler

Jamie Sullivan <jsullivan@torringtonlaw.com> Wed, Aug 14, 2024 at 12:06 PM
To: Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

Larry,

I am friends with all of the panel members but Sabrina Copp, who I never heard of. I was a running buddy with Rene Rosado, I used to practice law with Jay Nolan at Day Berry, I nominated John Matulis for a life-time achievement award, I have down several seminars on trial practice with Dick Brown, I have been friends with David Curry since law school, and I have known Gary Friedle since I graduated law school. You hired the right lawyer. You are in good hands.

Best,

Jamie

James F. Sullivan

Logan, Vance, Sullivan & Kores LLP

733 East Main Street
Torrington, CT 06790
Ph: 860-489-5000

Cell: 860-690-1840

Fax: 860-496-0660
Email: JSullivan@TorringtonLaw.com
www.TorringtonLaw.com

LV SK LOGAN VANCE SULLIVAN KORES TRIAL LAWYERS

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT E E2

Gmail

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

Re: OCDC v. Dressler

Jamie Sullivan <jsullivan@torringtonlaw.com> Fri, Oct 11, 2024 at 4:21 PM
To: Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

Larry,

I do not think you are thinking rationally about this. I think you have a very good shot at getting reinstated with me as your attorney. I think your chances of getting reinstated without me are nil. You would be sending a message to the standing committee that your law license is not important enough to you to pay an attorney to represent you, an attorney that has significant relationships with all but one of the members of the standing committee. Please reconsider and talk to Jake.

Best,

Jamie

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT E E3

Gmail

Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>

FW: Chief Disciplinary Counsel v. Lawrence Dressler UWY-CV14-6046179-S

5 messages

Jamie Sullivan <jsullivan@torringtonlaw.com> Mon, Nov 18, 2024 at 1:06 PM
To: Lawrence Dressler <lawrencedressler@gmail.com>, LAWRENCE DRESSLER <dresslerlaw@aol.com>

Larry,

I know you told me you cannot pay me any more money because you need it for your family. I too have a family and partners to whom I am accountable. I have two college tuitions to pay for. Your son said he was going to pay me $2000 and that has not happened yet. You owe me about $5000. I am not going to work for free. Either you find a way to pay me by the end of this week or I am going to have to withdraw. If getting your license back is important to you, you will find a way.

James F. Sullivan

Logan, Vance, Sullivan & Kores LLP

733 East Main Street
Torrington, CT 06790
Ph: 860-489-5000

Cell: 860-690-1840

Fax: 860-496-0660
Email: JSullivan@TorringtonLaw.com
www.TorringtonLaw.com

LV SK LOGAN VANCE SULLIVAN KORES TRIAL LAWYERS

From: Gary Friedle <gfriedle.esq@friedlelaw.com>
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2024 12:31 PM

E3

EXHIBIT F

CROOKED SUFFOLK DA GETS 5 YEARS IN OTISVILLE BUT GETS TO KEEP $17M

August 23, 2021 Larry Noodles 1 Comment

80 year old Thomas J. Spota III was the most powerful man in Suffolk County, Long Island, up until the time he was indicted on Federal charges in 2017. In 2019 Spota was convicted of witness tampering, obstruction of justice, and conspiracy. A couple of weeks ago Spota was sentenced to 5 years in Federal prison. Spota will probably go to the prison of choice for Jewish criminals, ie., Otisville, even though he is as Catholic as Pope Francis. Spota...

READ MORE

Search

RECEN

Crook
Gets 5
Otisvi
To Ke

Deadl
Dan Is
Bob D
Sexua

Gary (
Threa
Cuom
DeRos

Dov G
Storef

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
A-9
FULL
ID
Date
Docket #
Initials

F 1

DEADBEAT LAWYER DAN ISAACS SUES BOB DYLAN FOR SEXUAL MOLESTATION

August 18, 2021 Larry Noodles 1 Comment

Robert Allen Zimmerman, AKA Bob Dylan, was sued by a 68 year old Greenwich CT woman named "JC." JC alleged that back in 1965 Bob Dylan gave 12 year old JC alcohol and drugs and threatened her with physical violence in order to sexually molest her at the Chelsea Hotel back in 1965. According to a number of historical websites, Bob Dylan wasn't even living in the Chelsea Hotel in 1965. He lived there in 1964 at some point and...

READ MORE

GARY GOLDSTEIN THREATENED TO KILL CUOMO AID MELISSA DEROSA

August 11, 2021 Larry Noodles 3 Comments

Gary Goldstein spent 6 years in New York State prisons for crimes directly related to his alcohol, drugs and gambling addictions. Gary wrote a 736 page autobiography called "Jew In Jail." Gary states on his website: "my book provides insight into how I, as a minority in prison, was forced to fend for myself against all of the mistreatment at the hands of the powers that be from the Department Of Correctional Services, as well as the daily grind of...

READ MORE

F2

Chair
Heade
Dr / R
of Mo
With
Briber
Goat
in Rat
More
Mater
Satma
Mach
The F
Goat
To Re
Civil J
Pesac
Cause
Destr
Sprin
Murra
Heade
Otisvi
More
Otisvi
the W
Donor
Paym
Non P
Greer
Satma
Buste
Teflor
Gang
goyis
$30M
Sheld
Break

DOV GREER OPENS STOREFRONT SHUL

August 5, 2021 Larry Noodles 0 Comment

On October 23, 2018 Dov Greer paid $560,000.00 for a house located in the town of West Hempstead, Long Island, NY. Dov put down over $100K in cash as a down payment. Dov moved out of New Haven in 2016 after his father Daniel Greer, the pedophile Rav of New Haven, was sued for sexually molesting children. Since that time Dov Greer got a job as the Shabbos rabbi at the Hebrew Congregation of Mount Kisco. Dov is now leading...

READ MORE

CHAIM DEUTSCH HEADED TO OTISVILLE

July 31, 2021 Larry Noodles 0 Comment

Former Brooklyn councilman Chaim Deutsch will be called a microwave oven in Otisville by the other inmates. He will be in and out before his slice of pizza cooks in the microwave. Deutsch only got three months for three years of tax evasion. A rather boring crime. Only the little people go to jail for tax evasion. The billionaires get to dodge taxes and pay off politicians like Mr. Deutsch in order to avoid Otisville altogether. Chaim was making close...

READ MORE

F3

otisvil
Vishni
Heade
Trump
Watch
Manh.
Electi
Greer
Curre
"Limit
Progr
Greer
After
House
Sluml
Buste
Apts
owne
"Suga
Pedop
Micah
"Rabb
of Jail
Murde
McKa
To Ha
And F
Son o
Agent
to $9C
Fraud
Boro
leade
the Fe
Shola
Stroke
of Oti
Zirkin
Launc

DR / RABBI KURTZER OF MONSEY CHARGED WITH KICKBACKS & BRIBERY

July 27, 2021 Larry Noodles 0 Comment

Back in 2015 Dr., and ordained Rabbi, Yitzchok "Barry" Kurtzer and his wife Robin purchased this ten bedroom million dollar mansion at 11 Golar Drive in Monsey New York with Doris and Edward Kurtzer. Not bad for a doctor who graduated from Grace Medical School in the Caribbean. Grace Medical School no longer exists. Barry was the most successful doctor criminal who ever graduated from Grace Medical School. It is not clear how Doris and Edward are related to white...

READ MORE

GOAT SUES AVI HACK IN RABBINICAL COURT

July 21, 2021 Larry Noodles 1 Comment

Pedophile Rabbi Daniel Greer recently hauled his victim Avi Hack into a three dayan (judge) Rabbinical Court, ie., the Beit Din, headed by Rabbi Landesman of Monsey NY. Rabbi Notis was the dayan chosen by the Goat and Rabbi Grauz was the Dayan chosen by Avi Hack. Rabbi Notis moved his Yeshiva for wayward boys into the compound after the Goat was sued by EM for molestation back in 2016. Notis has supported the Goat ever since, even testifying at...

READ MORE

F4

Heade
Greer
Order
Vaccir
Sheld
Relea
Quara
Alan D
the Ki
Pardo
Warde
Force
Greer
Court
Denie
Otisvi
Party
Zalma
Gets &
Otisvi
Feds E
Mone
Rabbi
Danie
Relea
State
Englis
Refus
Extrac
To US
$427,(
Awarc
Sarah
Gary S
Under
Invest
Trump
Miami
Philip

MORE OTISVILLE BOOK MATERIAL

July 19, 2021 Larry Noodles 2 Comments

I am currently working on publishing a book about Otisville, with the help of a creative writing genius who teaches at a top university on the Left Coast. This book will chronicle Jewish daily life in Otisville as it existed in the years before COVID19. There once was a thriving Jewish criminal community within the walls of the Federal Bureau of Prisons. Sadly, this life no longer exists in Otisville. I feel I have a duty to write a book...

READ MORE

SATMAR SHMATTA MACHER SET UP BY THE FEDS

July 14, 2021 Larry Noodles 0 Comment

Arnold Klein was just sentenced to 6 months in Otisville for money laundering. Klein was facing two years if Judge Kenneth Karas followed the Sentencing Guidelines. Klein used his non profit organization to launder hundreds of thousands of dollars. Klein was living in a $2.2 million 10 bedroom house in Monroe when the Feds finally caught up to him in 2019. Klein was earning a 6% commission on monies laundered through his non profit Gemach Keren Hillel account. Klein sold...

READ MORE

Sarah
Buste
Birth

Husb
House
Beate

Ghisl
Asks t
Bond
MDC

Crazy
Neph
Buste

Satm
Sprea
Held i
Willia

Sackl
Crimi
Purdu
Guilty

6 Mor
Satm
Sprea

Huge
Wedd
Monr

Dersh
Habe
Goat

Defer
Prose
Agree
Jeffre
Jed R

Rabbi
Diesel

Bais D
Siruv
Balka

F 5

GOAT FILES MOTION TO REOPEN $20M CIVIL JUDGMENT

July 6, 2021 Larry Noodles 0 Comment

Pedophile "Rabbi" Daniel Greer is currently residing in a jail cell in the basement of the Connecticut Correctional Institution in Cheshire. The Goat was forced to sign a 12 year lease with the State of Connecticut. The Goat gets free room and board for a period of 12 years. The Goat has a $20 million judgment hanging over his horns. The Goat has hired the best lawyers money can buy and has appealed the $20M judgment to the Second Circuit...

READ MORE

OLDER POST»

Atty B
Suing
Balka

Clare
Out of
Trust

Clare
Conne
Jewis

Major
Rebbe
Trump

Amicu
Reque
Rabbi
Greer

The P
Gettin
To De

Tischl
Drean

Otisvi
Brook

Mayor
Down

DeBla
Rechr
COVID

Morde
Relea:
Otisvi

The A
The N
Elm S

Feds E
In Bric

Coher
Otisvi

F6

that has been written in this blog, you need to file a formal complaint with Hashem. Moshiach Now!

Whoever has the ability to protest against the sins committed by people in his city, but does not, he is punished for the sins of the people of his city, unless he lives in the City of Williamsburg. Shabbos 55.

Rav Elyashiv rules that if a wicked man, or wicked goat, dies on Shabbos and his corpse is lying in the sun in shame, one should move the corpse by placing a child or loaf of bread on the corpse. Although one is permitted to agitate a wicked person while the wicked person is alive, now that the wicked person is dead and his nefarious activities have ceased, one should offer the wicked dead person a respectable burial. Larry Noodles is very machmir in the mitzvah of agitating a living wicked person or a wicked goat, as the case may be.

Rav Ula once said, "if you did something evil, making you a little wicked, you should not do more evil and become very wicked, just as one who got bad breath from eating garlic should not then continue to eat garlic and make his breath worse." Shabbos 31

Rav Abele, the famous dayan of Vilna, as a seven-year-old, was once ill and in bed. The doctor saw that his mouth was full of blisters. Turning to the boy's parents, the doctor explained that if the tongue isn't clean, it's a sure sign of a malfunctioning stomach. "Is there anyone who can truly say his mouth is clean?" retorted the sick child. "Chazal tell us in the gemara Bava Basra that most people are guilty of theft and everyone of loshon hora!"

"The hand of G-d lay heavy upon the he-goats, the crooks, the politicians, and the infidels, and He wrought havoc among them: He struck them with hemorrhoids." I Samuel 5-6

"If the Kohen gadol sins, bringing guilt to the people, then he shall bring for his sin which he has committed, an unblemished young bull as a sin offering to the Lord." Leviticus 4:3. "If your Rabbi sins and tells you to daven outside 770 waving a yellow flag during a pandemic, then you shall

Conve
Inmat
Collec
Salary
Edgev
Housi
"Rabt
Greer
Sex O
Otisvi
Claim
Under
Mand
Letter
Inside
Coher
Permi
At Der
Ezi Gr
Daddy
Conn
Marsh
Rapist
Greer
to the
Child
"Rabt
Unloa
for $2
Chief
State'
Gail H
Demo
Ortho
Judge
In Col
Micha
Habe
Again
Dept.

barbecue a rib eye steak and bring it to Larry Noodles as a sin offering." Noodles 4:13.

"It is better to be cursed by the Prophet Achiya ha'Shiloni, and repeatedly cursed out by Larry Noodles, than to be blessed by Bil'am." Taanit 20.

PLEASE HELP NOW! If you wish to help the Larry Noodles website defray the costs of court documents, transcripts, depositions, investigations & research, and make a tax deductible contribution to this non profit organization: First Amendment Watchdogs Incorporated, 516 Ellsworth Ave, New Haven, CT 06511. EIN number 83-0873639. Or go to this link and make a donation with your credit card or PAYPAL: DONATE

If you have been the victim of government prosecution and / or persecution, and have been commanded to surrender to a correctional institution, and are in need of advice, counseling, contacts, and information please contact me, everything will be kept strictly confidential: lawrencedressler@gmail.com or give me a call at 2037108137

If you have news tips from the inside, or the outside, please forward to lawrencedressler@gmail.com, ALL information, including you name will be kept strictly confidential.

Michael Cohen's Prison Doesn't Sound... Watch lat... Share



F8

Dr. Ze
Hired
Otisvi

Hero I
Golds
By the

Feds l
to Bur
Attorr
Palmi

Micha
Back i

Dumb
Otisvi

Goat I
To Ral
Yeshiv
Lanca

Ghisla
Hires
Attorr
Coher

Jeffre
Jewis
Ghisla
Buste

Sheld
Trying
Otisvi
COVID

Otisvi
Locke
The M

US Att
Zelins
the Ju
Depar

White
White
Yale

8/42

<NEXT
White Rapist Gets White Privilege At Yale

PREVIOUS>
US Atty Aaron Zelinsky Hauled Before Congress

2 thoughts on "State's Attorney Gail Hardy Protected Bad Cops For Years"

alex says:

June 19, 2020 at 4:40 pm

20 years for dealing drugs? This is what's wrong with America, in a sentence.

Reply

the looter ya love to hate says:

June 22, 2020 at 5:11 pm

Be True to the Game – Ice Cube

It's the nigga ya love to hate with a new song
So what really goes on
Nothing but a come-up, but ain't that a bitch
They hate to see a young nigga rich
But I refuse to switch even though
Cause I can't move to the snow
Cause soon as y'all get some dough
Ya wanna put a white bitch on your elbow
Moving out your neighborhood
But I walk through the ghetto and the flavor's good
Little kids jumping on me
But you, you wanna be white and corny

WHO IS LARRY NOODLES?

Spread the love

"Larry Noodles" is the nickname I got when I was an inmate at Otisville Federal prison. My real name is Lawrence Dressler. I had stolen a pound of spiral noodles from the prison warehouse where I had worked for 13 cents an hour. I cooked the noodles in a plastic bag, with a teaspoon of salt and a small amount of water. The noodles steamed in the microwave. I let them sit out for a few hours while they softened. I took them back to the cubicles where the inmates slept. I hid the noodles in a bag that hung from the wall of my cubicle. I hung my coat over the noodles in order to hide them from the guards. I went outside and sat in the sun near the parking lot in order to get a sun tan, even though it was the fall. A Russian inmate named Dima walked by and scolded me for sitting so close to the parking lot, he warned me that I could get in trouble. I was clearly within the boundary and was not in the parking lot. I should have listened to Dima.

F10

On November 23, 2014 Senior Specialist Officer S. Grogan saw me and thought I was involved in criminal activity, ie., smuggling contraband from visitors near the parking lot. Grogan searched me. I was clean. Grogan then went to my cubicle and searched. Grogan found the bag of noodles. Grogan interrogated me about the noodles. I told him I found them in the dining area. Grogan said that the kitchen staff said that they never use spiral noodles. Grogan said that I must have stolen the noodles from the warehouse where I worked. Grogan was very upset about the noodles. I mistakenly thought that Grogan would calm down if I told him that I thought I could bring the noodles to the bunks from the warehouse. When the guys heard that I ratted myself out to Grogan they got very mad at me. What kind of shlocky lawyer rats himself out to the Feds?

Grogan wrote up a disciplinary report. I was moved from my bunk and put in a different bunk in a less desirable location. I lost visitor privileges for a short period of time. Grogan tagged my bag of noodles and put it in his office as evidence of my crime. After Grogan left for the day another officer took over. Officer Krandal sat in the office for the night shift. Krandal got along well with the inmates. An inmate named Jewmark took the bag of noodles out of the office and brought it to me, as a gesture of kindness. I don't know whether Jewmark snuck it out of the office or whether Krandal let him take it. I thanked Jewmark for the noodles but told him that I was in no mood to eat noodles that evening.

I was locked up in the Otisville prison camp for 18 months after I pleaded guilty to conspiracy to commit mortgage fraud. I was one of many closing attorneys in seven real estate closings located in New Haven involving subprime mortgages. These transactions occurred in the fall of 2007 and one in the spring of 2008, just as the real estate market crashed.

On October 5, 2010 the Justice Department faxed a subpoena to me requesting copies of these closing files. My attorney told me I had nothing to worry about, as the Justice Department was issuing subpoenas all over the country looking at subprime mortgages that were in default after the market crash. When someone tells you that you have nothing to worry about, you should start to worry. It is true that the Feds were serving

subpoenas all over New Haven related to the subprime mortgage mess. I was one of many.

In early February of 2014 the Justice Department contacted my attorney and said that they wanted to indict me for mortgage fraud. They also said that I could cooperate. They said that if I cooperated I would still have to plead guilty to a felony and probably do some jail time, depending on the sentencing judge. They wanted me to rat out two other attorneys who I did closings with back in 2007. I didn't remember much about a couple of closings, which didn't take more than a couple of hour, that I did many years before. Nor did I remember much about closing attorneys from the Levinson Law Office whom the Feds wanted me to rat out. Plus I didn't see any point in cooperating if I wasn't offered much in return.

I was indicted on February 22, 2013. I pleaded guilty to mortgage fraud on October 3, 2013. I was sentenced on March 20, 2014. I turned myself in to Otisville prison on May 21, 2014. I was released on November 2, 2015.

The Feds added up the gross amount of the mortgages that went under in my conspiracy and came up with a loss amount of $1.6 million dollars for the purposes of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines, as well as for the purposes of it's press release. This "gross" loss amount put me in a sentencing guidelines range of between 4 – 5 years incarceration. Most of the banks did not make any claims for restitution. I ultimately was jointly responsible for a restitution order of about $400K payable to Wells Fargo Bank. I pay $300 a month towards the restitution order.

Although my restitution order is $400K, I only made about $4K in legal fees for the seven closings in which I pleaded guilty. There were numerous individuals in my case, who made hundreds of thousands of dollars in illicit profits, who were never indicted. For example, one of the straw buyers used by the ringleaders of my conspiracy, named Ronald Holder, never got indicted. The sellers whom I represented, Israelis who controlled a local real estate company called Team Realty, never got charged even though they profited with hundreds of thousands of dollars in illicit profits. I had no idea that these "respectable" real estate investors were selling run down properties to straw buyers who had no intention of

To Ha
And F
Son o
Agent
to $9C
Fraud
Boro I
leade
the Fe
Shola
Stroke
of Oti
Zirkin
Launc
Heade
Greer
Order
Vaccir
Sheld
Relea
Quara
Alan D
the Ki
Pardo
Warde
Force
Greer
Court
Denie
Otisvi
Party
Zalma
Gets 8
Otisvi
Feds E
Mone
Rabbi
Danie
Relea

F12

making the mortgage payments. Had I known I would have never represented these shyster idiots.

The other individuals involved in my case, my co-conspirators, all cooperated with the Federal government and planned to testify against me if I went to trial. My attorney told me that it was hopeless to go to trial. I thought I had some good defenses. I mostly represented the sellers in the transactions. I never held any deposit checks, I never signed any HUD forms and I didn't even calculate the closing figures. The buyer's attorney, and his secretary, did most of the work. The buyer's attorney was part of a larger conspiracy with a local realtor, named Levitin, who was singled out by the Feds as the ringleader. Levitin also cooperated with the Feds. Levitin was looking at ten years in jail if he didn't rat anyone out. I can't really blame him for coping a deal. The Feds have far too much power to force a guy to say whatever he thinks the Feds want him to say. If the Feds can indict a ham sandwich I was pastrami on rye.

Levitin was one of many leaders of small gangs of guys who searched out unscrupulous sellers and straw buyers in order to inflate property values and cash in on large bank loans. The straw buyers just had to have a good credit score. The buyers could apply for "stated income / no doc" loans from such big, "respectable" banks such as Wells Fargo. A buyer could claim that he was a self employed barber earning $150K a year on a stated income loan application with no requirement of income verification. A guy like Levitin would find 5 or 10 three family properties for the barber to purchase. Levitin would get the sellers to inflate the value of the properties, which would increase the loan amounts. Levitin would tell the barber that he had a management company that would collect the rents, pay the mortgage and keep up the properties. Levitin would get kickbacks at the closings from the sellers, who were selling run down properties at five times their value. Levitin would bribe the appraiser to submit a false appraisal to the bank. Levitin would then find an unsuspecting lawyer to do these closings and tell the lawyer that Levitin had the deposit checks and would issue the credits to the seller, which would be reflected on the HUD forms, which were submitted by the closing lawyer to the bank. In many cases the lawyer didn't know that the

F 13

State
Englis
Refus
Extrac
To US
$427,
Awarc
Sarah
Gary
Under
Invest
Trump
Miami
Philip
Sarah
Buste
Birth
Husba
House
Beate
Ghisla
Asks t
Bond
MDC
Crazy
Nephe
Buste
Satma
Sprea
Held i
Willia
Sackl
Crimi
Purdu
Guilty
6 Mor
Satma
Sprea
Huge
Wedd

credits were fictional. Or in other cases the lawyer erroneously thought that the large credits didn't have to be listed on the HUD form because it was a friendly sale and the sellers and buyers agreed to the offsets. There were many inexperienced lawyers doing these closings, as the closing business all of sudden took off. Eventually the lawyer would catch on to the scam and get cold feet. Levitin would find another lawyer. In my case there were numerous lawyers who did a number of closings for these gangs, and then turned them away after doing 10 or 20 or 30. Most of these lawyers never got indicted. The Federal Government knew that the attorneys were not the most culpable parties in these transactions, especially when compared with all the other fraud going on. If you look at the cases nationwide you can see that close to half the people indicted were closing attorneys. In my case almost half the people indicted were closing attorneys. None of the banks who approved millions of dollars in loans to self employed barbers with no doc / no income verification loans, got indicted. None of the sellers, who made hundreds of thousands of ill begotten gains got indicted in my case. The New Haven Independent questioned why none of the sellers got indicted. In my case I recall seeing the names of numerous local attorneys under scrutiny, who were never indicted, such as attorneys Alderman, Levinson, Speigel, Romania, Yolan, Trachten… I guess the Feds didn't have enough jails for everyone. At least a third of the people sitting in Otisville while I was there were closing attorneys.

The problem with the Federal Sentencing Guidelines is that the loss amounts drive the years you are exposed to incarceration. The way your losses are calculated can make a huge difference in your jail sentence. It is very important that your attorney calculate your Guidelines loss numbers that is most beneficial to your case, and argue against the calculation proposed by the Federal government. In my case the Assistant US Attorney David Tien Wei Huang added up the amount of each mortgage and came up with a figure of about $1.7 million. This is the figure that gets reported to the press. Huang then deducted the amount of monies that the banks recovered from foreclosure sales and came up with a figure of $1.1 million. This is the figure that he argued was the Sentencing Guidelines figure that should be used for sentencing

F14

Monrc
Dersh
Habea
Goat
Deferi
Prose
Agree
Jeffre
Jed Ri
Rabbi
Diesel
Bais D
Siruv,
Balka
Atty B
Suing
Balka
Clare
Out of
Trust
Clare
Conne
Jewis
Major
Rebbe
Trump
Amicu
Reque
Rabbi
Greer
The P
Gettin
To De
Tischl
Drean
Otisvi
Brook
Mayor
Down

purposes. If my losses were under $1 million I would have been in the 2-3 year guidelines range. One penny over $1 million and I get jacked up to 4-5 years. My lawyer asked Huang if he would reduce the loss figures to under a million. Huang refused to reduce the numbers to under $1 million. After I pleaded guilty Huang sent out letters to the banks that underwrote these mortgages asking the banks to submit claims for final losses. Wells Fargo submitted loss amounts that totaled $400K for two of its loans. The other banks failed to respond. The final loss figure was $400K. This figure was never reported to the media by the Justice Department. My lawyer never objected to Huang's guidelines calculations. My lawyer could have argued the following: "By making a Guidelines sentence turn, for all practical purposes, on the amount of monetary loss or gain occasioned by the offense, the Sentencing Commission effectively ignored the statutory requirement that federal sentencing take many factors into account, and, by contrast, effectively guaranteed that many such sentences would be irrational on their face." *US v. Gupta*, 904 F. Supp. 2d at 351; *United States v. Caspersen*, No. 16-CR-00414 (JSR) (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 4, 2016) (loss amount contributing 22 of 34 total Guidelines offense-level points should not "occupy . . . , as it does in so many guideline cases, such an inordinate position, overwhelming every other factor"); Derick R. Vollrath, Note, Losing the Loss Calculation: Toward a More Just Sentencing Regime in White-Collar Criminal Cases, 59 Duke L.J. 1001, 1023–25 (2010) (Guidelines' emphasis on loss "fail[s] to accurately reflect a defendant's culpability"). My culpability was admittedly minor, according to US Attorney Huang, compared to the culpability of my co-conspirators.

My attorney never objected to Huang's calculations of losses. For example, on one closing I did the bank foreclosed against a straw buyer named Ronald Jones, who submitted false loan applications, and other false documents to the bank. Jones was never indicted. After Wells Fargo foreclosed the bank submitted an appraisal to the foreclosure judge in which the bank valued the property located at 279 Norton Street at $233K. The losses logically should be the difference between the mortgage amount, which was $343K and $233K, which would equal $110K. The bank sat on the property for 5 months and ended up selling it

for only $100K to a Levitin owned LLC, the same person whom the bank had reported to the Justice Department as a mortgage fraudster in a suspicious activity report, at a steep discount. The bank filed documents in the foreclosure case asking for a deficiency judgment to recover money against Ronald Jones, but never requested a hearing. US Attorney Huang subtracted $101K from the loan amount of $343K and came up with a loss figure of $241K. My attorney should have argued that the loss figure should have been $100K and not $241K. My attorney should have argued that the bank didn't mitigate its damages in the foreclosure. On another Ronald Jones owned property at 363 Ellsworth the Wells Fargo mortgage amount was $252K. Huang subtracted the fire sale price of $91K and came up with a figure of $160K as the loss amount. At the foreclosure the bank submitted an appraisal showing the value of the property at $200K. Wells Fargo ended up selling it for $91K a mere four months later to a Levitin owned entity. My attorney should have argued that the loss amount should have been $109K, the difference between $200K and 91K, not the $160K figure that Huang came up with, especially where the bank failed to mitigate its losses, by failing to pursue monies against Ronald Jones, who was never indicted, in the foreclosure case. My lawyer could have argued that the bank had unclean hands in that it was selling properties to the same person whom it reported in suspicious activity reports to the Justice Department. My attorney could have argued that Wells approved mortgages that it knew were fraudulent and was more akin to a co-conspirator than a defendant. Co-conspirators are not permitted to collect restitution damages in a criminal case under the MRVA. I don't know if my attorney would have won these arguments, but I will never know because my attorney never made these arguments. Other attorneys in cases related to me made such arguments, ironically these attorneys were Federal public Defenders, who were paid by the Government. Had I claimed poverty and applied for a Federal Public Defender I would have received better representation than private counsel! Unbelievable!

In 2018 Wells Fargo Bank, the victim in my case, entered into an agreement to pay the Justice Department over $2 billion to settle a lawsuit where Wells was sued for violations of the Financial Institutions

Reform, Recovery And Enforcement Act, the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, the Injunctions Against Fraud Act, negligence, gross negligence, unjust enrichment, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract, misrepresentation, deceit, fraud, and aiding and abetting. One of the mortgages I closed was included in the settlement with Wells. I have tried to get my criminal case reopened in Federal court on the legal grounds that a victim of my crime cannot also be a co-conspirator. It is clear under the Mandatory Victim's Restitution Act that I should not have to pay restitution to a co-conspirator. I haven't had any luck with my motion. I recently lost my motion on other legal grounds, ie., there is a time limit to reopen an old case. US Attorney Huang could have agreed that it was unfair that I had to pay restitution to Wells Fargo, and agreed to adjust my restitution order. FUHGETABOUTIT!

At the time I was sentenced my lawyer could have argued the following: The Department of Justice failed to indict Wells Fargo Bank despite having knowledge that widespread financial fraud in the subprime mortgage industry would not have been possible without the participation of large financial institutions. The Government waited to obtain hundreds of guilty pleas, restitution orders and forfeiture orders against individuals before it commenced civil fraud actions against large financial institutions, such as Wells Fargo, which resulted in hundreds of billions of dollars in civil fines, penalties and settlements. The Department of Justice failed to disclose the role of Wells Fargo Bank as my co-conspirator when restitution orders were entered against me. The Department of Justice failed to disclose that Wells Fargo Bank was the victim as well as the perpetrator of financial fraud at the time that the restitution orders entered against me. "Any order entered under the Mandatory Victim's Restitution Act that has the effect of treating coconspirators as 'victims,' and thereby requires 'restitutionary' payments to the perpetrators of the offense of conviction, contains an error so fundamental and so adversely reflecting on the public reputation of the judicial proceedings that we may, and do, deal with it *sua sponte*." *US v. Reifler*, 446 F.3d 65, 127 (2006); *USA v. Connolly*, et al 16-cr-00370 CM (At sentencing of Defendant Connolly US District Judge Colleen McMahon

Dr. Ze
Hired
Otisvi
Hero I
Golds
By the
Feds l
to Bur
Attorr
Palmi
Micha
Back i
Dumb
Otisvi
Goat I
To Ral
Yeshiv
Lanca
Ghisla
Hires
Attorr
Coher
Jeffre
Jewis
Ghisla
Buste
Sheld
Trying
Otisvi
COVID
Otisvi
Locke
The M
US Att
Zelins
the Ju
Depar
White
White
Yale

stated: "I cannot make Mr. Connolly and Mr. Black the scapegoats for the entire industry." See Bloomberg news release 10/24/19. McMahon "sharply criticizing U.S. prosecutors for treating the two men as 'proxy wrongdoers' for a much larger scheme." Reuters news release 10/24/19. Connelly was sentenced to home confinement and a $400,000.00 fine on 10/24/19 after the Department of Justice recommended 151-188 months incarceration and a $3 million fine.

The Federal Sentencing Guidelines impose joint and several liability on all co-conspirators for losses without taking into account proportionate liability, in most cases. Its similar to when everyone in a bank robbery conspiracy gets charged with felony murder even though only one guy in the conspiracy killed someone in the bank. My co-conspirator Levitin recruited the straw buyers, unscrupulous sellers, shady appraisers, and mortgage brokers, and put together all the deals. According to the Justice Department he was the leader of the gang, the ringleader, who did most of the work. I spent less than an hour on each of the seven closings I pleaded guilty to. The US Attorney never claimed that I knew anything about false appraisals, phony pay stubs and fraudulent mortgage applications. My liability was limited to knowing that the buyers and sellers gave each other large credits off the HUD forms, ie., off the books, even though I didn't even sign 6 of the 7 HUD forms, nor was I required to sign such forms. I had no legal relationship with the banks. I didn't even prepare the paperwork, the attorney for the buyer prepared almost everything. The seller's attorneys does next to nothing, other than cutting checks. At the time I pleaded guilty I didn't understand the intricacies of Federal criminal law with regard to mortgage fraud. Its not easy to know everything there is to know about a complicated white collar Federal prosecution, even for a seasoned criminal defense attorney. My attorney should have filed a motion to dismiss the charges for those six closings. Hindsight is 20-20 vision.

I may have won on the motion to dismiss, or I may have lost. I will never know because my attorney never filed the motion. My attorney did minimal work on my case. Most criminal defense attorneys in white collar Federal cases charge upwards of $200K just to hammer out a plea

F 18

bargain. I didn't pay my attorney anything near that amount. Even if I had there is no guarantee that I would have got a better result. To charge a client $200K to work out a plea deal is a complete rip off. I knew guys in Otisville who mortgaged their houses and borrowed from their families in order to raise hundreds of thousands of dollars for their defense. I read their transcripts. They hired the most reputable attorneys in Connecticut. I couldn't believe the poor defense they received for the money. I was shocked. I was too proud, and embarrassed, to ask friends and relatives to give me $200K for an attorney.

Most people think that there is an oversupply of lawyers in this country. There is no shortage of lawyers willing to provide legal services to the wealthy. There is no shortage of lawyers in the area of personal injury law, ie., car accidents, work related injuries, slip and falls, medical malpractice. The big personal injury law firms are run by shrewd businessmen. They work on contingency fees so they have an incentive to settle your case rather than go to trial Plus they have a high overhead devoted to advertising. There is a huge shortage of lawyers for the working poor and the middle class in the area of Federal and State criminal defense and family law. If you make too much money to qualify for Legal Aid in family cases, or the Public Defender's Office you are not going to obtain high quality legal services in highly contested family cases, or State or Federal criminal cases. If you are indigent the Federal Public Defender's Office provides you with a very good defense. If they cannot represent you because of a shortage of staff attorneys they will refer you to a highly qualified private attorney who bills the Federal government. The Federal Government provides decent reimbursement to attorneys. Some of the best attorneys in the State are on this list. You best strategy is to declare bankruptcy after you get indicted and apply for a Federal Public Defender. The State of Connecticut's Public Defenders Office is completely underfunded. The public defenders office lack the manpower to provide you with a decent defense, unless you are a high profile defendant. The Chief Public Defender will handle your case and provide you with a good defense. Everyone else gets stuck with the public defenders on staff, or gets referred to private attorneys who are paid very low fees, at times bordering on minimum wage when the State pays a flat

rate for a case. I used to get referrals from the State Public Defender's Office. For many years I worked for minimum wage until I started doing lucrative closings, which got me into trouble.

One problem in the criminal justice system is the increase in plea bargains. Prosecutors have broad authority to decide when and which criminal charges to bring. In a system in which over 95 percent of cases are resolved without trial, these charging decisions are almost always determinative of case outcomes. Scholars have complained that defendants are forced into plea bargains because they don't have the money to mount a vigorous defense. Plus the prosecutors trump up the charges in order to force a plea bargain. If you lose at trial the prosecutor will ask for triple the jail time as you would get in a plea bargain. The judges punish defendants who elect to go to trial and ends up losing. The attitude is that you wasted court resources with a lengthy trial.

My attorney had no interest in fighting the charges. He took my retainer and wanted me to plead guilty. He didn't file a motion to dismiss, a motion to sever, or any other substantive motion that is normally filed if you are planning to go to trial. I asked him to file a motion to sever. He ignored my request. He wanted to the least amount of work possible for the retainer. If I didn't like it I could hire another attorney and he would keep my retainer. It would be impossible to get my retainer back because lawyers can always pad their bills with time for "legal research" and "file review." He convinced me that under Federal criminal law liability attaches to "negligent" conduct. He said that the Feds only had to prove that I was negligent, which is not entirely true. Evidence of negligence is admissible on Federal crimes but the Feds still have to prove that I had the requisite intent to commit the crime. At the time I was too stressed out and frightened to switch lawyers. Plus I didn't have $100K to pay another attorney. My attorney assured me that I would be fine because I only did 7 closings. The Judge would see the small role I played and cut me a break, despite what the prosecutors were saying. My attorney's representation of me unfortunately is representative of many criminal defense attorneys. They don't want to go to trial unless you have enormous amounts of money to spend on their fees, regardless of

whether you are innocent. Even if you have all the money in the world, the odds of you getting a very good attorney for the money in a Federal criminal case is rare. Most attorneys are either out of their league or they are former Federal prosecutors and won't put up a big fight with their former colleagues at the Justice Department. There is a general attitude among criminal defense attorneys that your client is guilty and is going to jail anyway, so the best course of action is to try to hammer out a quick deal. When this is the prevailing attitude the prosecutors get to set the parameters for all the sentences. Nobody gets a break.

US Attorney Huang could have evaluated my case and concluded that I had a limited role in the conspiracy, and charged me accordingly, regardless of the fact that the Federal Sentencing Guidelines gave him the power to give me the max. Just because you have the power doesn't mean you should use it. Huang decided not to cut me a break. It's possible he was just following orders. Advancement decisions in any prosecutor's office is dependent on the number of notches you have on your stick. Prosecutors are no different than cops. When a cop pulls you over for speeding he can give you a warning or charge you with speeding. If you were driving one mile an hour over 85 he can charge you with reckless driving, or he can cut you a break and charge you with speeding.

The law gives Federal prosecutors broad discretion as to whom to prosecute, whether or not to prosecute, and what charges to file. *Bordenkircher v. Hayes,* 434 US 357 (1978). Such factors include the strength of the case, the prosecution's general deterrence value, the Government's enforcement priorities, and the case's relationship to the Government's overall enforcement plan. The United States Supreme Court has discouraged judicial review and supervision of prosecutor's decisions because "examining the basis of a prosecution delays the criminal proceeding, threatens to chill law enforcement by subjecting the prosecutor's motives and decision making to outside inquiry, and may undermine prosecutorial effectiveness by revealing the Government's enforcement policy. All these are substantial concerns that make the courts hesitant to examine the decision whether to prosecute. Prosecutorial discretion is broad but not unfettered. Selectivity in the

State.
Give S
Matzo
Greer
Feds E
Park M
Suppl
Price
Rabbi
Dema
Matza
Otisvi
Tested
COVID
Inmat
Provic
Speci
Meals
Otisvi
Petitic
Early
Yale D
Excori
Grad
Feds E
Shiks
Brook
Office
Greer
Corre
Passo
Lt. Cr
Coher
Entici
Coron
Decir
Popul
Visits
Chesh
SUSPI

enforcement of criminal laws is subject to constitutional constraints. The decision to prosecute may not be deliberately based upon an unjustifiable standard such as race, religion, or other arbitrary classification." *Wayte v. United States*, 470 US 578 (1985).

The model rules of the American Bar Association state the following: "The primary duty of the prosecutor is to seek justice within the bounds of the law, not merely to convict. The prosecutor serves the public interest and should act with integrity and balanced judgment to increase public safety both by pursuing appropriate criminal charges of appropriate severity, and by exercising discretion to not pursue criminal charges in appropriate circumstances... The prosecutor is not merely a case-processor but also a problem-solver responsible for considering broad goals of the criminal justice system. The prosecutor should seek to reform and improve the administration of criminal justice, and when inadequacies or injustices in the substantive or procedural law come to the prosecutor's attention, the prosecutor should stimulate and support efforts for remedial action..."

The Model Rules of the American Bar Association state the following: "The prosecutor generally serves the public and not any particular government agency, law enforcement officer or unit, witness or victim." Yet Prosecutor's Offices, and the Department of Justice have used, and abused, the draconian Civil Forfeiture laws in order to fund, and at times enrich their law enforcement agencies. The financial incentive to seize cash and valuable property are so high that forfeiture sometimes warps the priorities of law enforcement officials. Authorities have been known to allow people to commit crimes, just so they can later seize the cash that was earned from those crimes. The City Attorney of Las Cruces, New Mexico, for example, was caught on videotape telling a roomful of people how police officers waited outside a bar hoping that the owner of a 2008 Mercedes would walk out drunk because they "could hardly wait" to get their hands on his vehicle.

In my case the Federal government seized property from myself and others in my conspiracy under the civil forfeiture laws. The Government probably seized at least a million dollars in property, possibly more, from all of the defendants in my case. None of the monies obtained from civil

Greer
Forecl
His Ye
Buildi

Greer
To Sa
Nighti
Street

Otisvi
On Hc
Coron

Rabbi
Fails T
Cash I

Avi Ha
Order
By 2n

Ortho
Black
Suppc
Stone

Forecl
Value:
At $62

Micha
Heart
Otisvi

Goat I
Dersh
Break
Big Hc

Corru
Bronx
House
Inmat

Goat t
Prope
Comp

US Att
His Je

forfeiture is applied to restitution orders. All of this money goes to the budget of the Department of Justice, so that they can fight more crime, and not have to rely on asking Congress for funding. This obviously gives the government a big incentive to prosecute and seize property, when alternatives to incarceration and forfeiture would be more beneficial to society. Mass incarceration and mass forfeiture may work in China and Russia. The Founding Fathers are rolling in their graves.

Me and my co-conspirators have to pay close to a million dollars in restitution to the victims of our crimes. The Government seized close to a million dollars in civil forfeiture from me and my co-conspirators. Not a penny of that seizure went to the victims of our crimes. The Government is allowed to seized property without being subjected to a high burden of proof that the property was somehow connected to the underlying crime. The legal standard used in criminal cases, ie., guilty beyond a reasonable doubt does not apply in civil asset forfeiture cases. Lower standards of proof, such as the preponderance of the evidence, ie., the tipping of the scale, is typically used. Legal scholars have been calling for an end to the unfair civil forfeiture laws for years. But which small town prosecutor, with a limited budget, is going to listen to a Constitutional scholar? The Justice Department regularly issues press releases boasting about how many billions of dollars a year are seized from American citizens. My lawyer never objected to the forfeiture orders proposed by US Attorney Huang. I gave the government thousands of dollars in property without a fight. My attorney told me that I would piss off the prosecutor if I objected and get a longer sentence. That strategy didn't work. Learn from my mistakes. Don't roll over and play dead. Your lawyer should do more for you than hold your hand and walk you in front of the firing squad.

Prosecutors are granted broad powers to destroy lives. Judges rarely challenge their conduct. The public, especially minorities, are starting to challenge this unlimited power in DA's offices in many big cities. Former public defender Larry Krasner recently was elected District Attorney for the City of Philadelphia. His first order of business was to fire half the prosecutors and enact broad policies of criminal justice reform, including

F23

an end to mass incarceration. I wrote an article about Krasner in the New Haven Independent, see link.

After I pleaded guilty I was looking at a Guidelines range of 4 years, but the Guidelines were advisory, not mandatory. The US Supreme Court determined that the Guidelines were unfair, after the Federal government had employed them for 30 years to lock up a generation of young minority males for drug dealing. The most glaring problem with the Guidelines is that it imposed a 10 year sentence on a crack dealer while a cocaine dealer only faced 1 year. The Justice Department was still permitted to use them at sentencing for advisory purposes.

The Office of Adult Probation, an arm of the Justice Department, is responsible for preparing a Probation report, with sentencing recommendations, apart from the Guidelines. The Probation officer interviews you, contacts friends and family members, and reviews your file and comes up with a recommendation. The report is completely sealed. The Judge gets a copy of the report. The attorneys also get copies but they are not allowed to give their clients copies of the report. You can look at your report but you cannot have a copy. My report concluded that despite the fact that my criminal behavior was out of character, an aberration, and that I was unlikely to offend in the future, it was recommended that I receive substantial jail time for "deterrence purposes."

I was shocked by the conclusion in my probation report. I had just spent the last year living on pins and needles with a Federal indictment hanging over my head. I was still allowed to practice law, but the pressure was unbearable. I was a complete disgrace in the legal profession, I was humiliated by Superior Court Judges, my name was dragged through the media, and I was disowned by friends and relatives. I told the Probation Officer that I had felt terrible about what I had done. I had disappointed so many people in my life. My life was ruined. My remorse didn't mean anything to the Office of Adult Probation. I still had to go to jail in order to deter others from committing my crime. If the housing market, and the economy didn't crash in 2008 my crime would have been a run of the mill case. I probably would have never been indicted at all. My seven real

Jon B
Into C
at 1:3:
Russia
"Musc
Island
Pot Ki
Braun
Otisvi
2020
Latest
News
Westv
Subm
Suppc
Dr. Sie
The G
To Juc
Goat /
Crimi
Coher
Relea:
Fellov
Goat (
Transf
Chesh
Jewis
Hire F
Footb
Case
Ewe G
From
Goat I
Goat's
the Bi
Inmat
Goat (
In the

estate transactions paled in comparison with the fraud committed by the big banks, which caused the entire economy to collapse. Yet I was required to go to jail for "deterrence purposes."

The night before I was sentenced I let off some steam at the racquetball courts. I got a call from my attorney at around 8 PM. He said he had great news for me. He said that Prosecutor Huang was only looking for me to do 24 months in jail. I was shocked. 24 months was not good news, especially when my attorney kept telling me I would be lucky to do a year. At the time I didn't realize that Huang couldn't ask for more than 24 months. The sentencing Judge Hall had just sentenced the other attorneys in my case to 24 months and their guidelines were 4 years, and they had done 2-3 times as many closings as me. The sentencing judge cannot subject similarly situated defendants to wide sentencing disparities. If my judge wanted to be fair she should have given me half the sentence of the other defendants, in that I did half the closings. "Guidelines' emphasis on loss fails to accurately reflect a defendant's culpability" Derick R. Vollrath, Note, Losing the Loss Calculation: Toward a More Just Sentencing Regime in White-Collar Criminal Cases, 59 Duke L.J. 1001, 1023–25 (2010). My culpability was admittedly minor, according to US Attorney Huang, compared to the culpability of my co-conspirators. Instead she imposed a sentence of 20 months. To this day I don't understand why she sentenced me to 20 months. My attorney couldn't understand it either. He was shocked. Everyone in the courtroom was shocked. I told the Judge about how terrible I felt about what I had done. I pleaded guilty. I admitted that I had committed a crime. I put myself at the mercy of the Court. I had already been punished significantly. My personal life was ruined. I would be branded a felon for the rest of my life. I wasn't looking to walk out of court a free man. I was willing to be punished for my crime. But at what price?

The public attitude towards crime and punishment has changed dramatically since I was sentenced. Every politician in big cities runs on the criminal justice reform platform. Nobody is using the tough on crime slogan anymore. History has proven that building more jails does not result in less crime. Donald Trump, a Republican, passed the First Step

Act. Locking up drug dealers in the inner cities does not reduce drug dealing. If you want to reduce drug dealing you need to address the issues of poverty, failed public schools, livable wages, a lack of police in inner cities, housing projects, etc... If you spent the money that you spend on prisons to hire more cops to patrol the inner cities you wouldn't need as many prisons. Donald Trump said he wanted to send the National Guard into Chicago to reduce violent crime. All he has to do is send more money into the cities and provide decent jobs and police protection for its residents.

If you want to reduce financial crime you need to address problems in the financial industry, ie., and focus more on the regulation of banks and big financial institutions. Focusing on crime committed by low level people in the financial industry, and filling up the jails with closings attorneys, will do nothing to address structural problems in the financial industry. Plus studies have shown that locking people up does not deter crime. Some of they guys I was locked up with were mini Madoffs, career criminals, they had no moral bearings whatsoever, there is nothing you can do to deter them from committing crime.

From the date the Feds served me with a subpoena until the date I checked into Otisville prison, almost four years later, I lived under constant stress. I saw a therapist during this period of time, Dr. Brian Kelly. He introduced me to neurofeedback, which I found to be very beneficial and helped me handle the stress. My prison experience was no less stressful. One stressor was replaced with another.

I wrote diaries in prison in order to relieve my stress. As a lawyer I constantly wrote briefs, letters, memos, etc... In prison I had no outlet for my writing skills. I began to send my stories out to a small group of friends and relatives on the outside. I was able to email in prison. It cost $3 an hour to use the computers to send and receive emails. Eventually my emails reached a greater number of people, as my friends forwarded my stories to others. I emailed my stories to inmates whom I knew who had been released from Otisville.

F26

Eventually Jewish inmates on the inside found out that I was sending stories about them to people on the outside. Inmates' families started to read my stories. The inmates got very upset at me. They yelled and cursed at me in the shul. I assured them that I would not write about them anymore. I couldn't control myself. I continued to write stories, but I told my friends not to forward my stories to anyone. I never promised them that I wouldn't write about new inmates who were entering the camp. Inmates were constantly coming and going.

The inmates didn't discover my new stories until I started posting them on Paul Bass' website, ie., the New Haven Independent. Paul had heard about my stories. He asked me for permission to publish them. I agreed.

Eventually the inmates discovered that I was blogging from prison. My fellow inmates got very angry. They made life very difficult for me. They dumped soup on my bunk. They yelled at me and harassed me every chance they got. They stole my prison watch. One inmate used my winter hat as a toilet. I decided to suspend my blogs from prison until after I was released from Otisville. I still wrote stories in my diary every day but I didn't make them public. I sent them out to a very select group of family and friends. After several weeks the guys settled down. They stopped harassing me about the blog. By this time I didn't have much time before my release date.

After I was released I started this website. I continued to write about Otisville, as well as about the criminal justice system and the Department of Justice. It wasn't easy to adjust to civilian life. I froze up whenever I saw a cop. My personal life was turned upside down. Nothing was the same. It felt great to be out of prison, but change is stressful, whether it is for the good, or for the bad. My personal relationships changed. Nobody understood the trauma I had just experienced. Nobody seemed to want to understand what I had just been through. I was a criminal. Criminals are supposed to go to jail. I kept in touch with my friends from Otisville. Slowly I adjusted to the real world. I forgave people who were insensitive. I can't change the world, but I can change myself.

F 27

After I got out of Otisville I expected people on the outside to be much kinder than the misfits who threatened me in prison. I was wrong. The officers at the Probation Office intimidated me with threats of reincarceration. They harassed me for restitution money even though I didn't have the means to make much money. They wouldn't let me leave the State of Connecticut, even just to visit a friend in New York. They even asked me why I was "wasting" my time writing a blog. For three years I was subjected to surprise visits at my home, as well as constant interrogation.

A few months after I got out of Otisville I took on a person who was far more cruel, devious and depraved than anyone I had met in Otisville, or anyone I had encountered in my entire life. I had known the well respected and powerful Rabbi Daniel Greer for almost 25 years. I was told in confidence, by Rabbi Muroff, that Rabbi Greer was a pedophile who raped a number of male teenage students at his Yeshiva. I knew many former students from the Greer yeshiva, as well as the administrators and teachers. The yeshiva was falling apart at the time I was released from prison. Rumors about Mr. Greer were going around all over New Haven, as well as New York. Mr. Greer and his supporters intimidated and threatened anyone who dared to publicize his crimes. I was not going to be intimidated by Mr. Greer. I exposed Mr. Greer in my blog. Mr. Greer sued me for defamation. I refused to back down. The rest is history. You can read about it in my blog. Mr. Greer is now sitting in a jail cell in solitary confinement in State prison. Mr. Greer was sentenced to 20 years suspended after 12. There is a $20 million child rape judgment against Mr. Greer.

In my battle with Mr. Greer I was subjected to numerous threats and personal attacks, not only by low life criminals, who wanted to beat me up in the back of the Walgreens parking lot on Whalley Avenue, but also by low life pedophile Mr. Greer, Mr. Greer's low life friends, and Mr. Greer's sleezy high priced attorneys. It was a high tech lynching! Attorney Darcy McGraw, Mr. Greer's friend and head of the Connecticut Innocence Project threatened to contact the United States Justice Department and try to get my probation violated, so that I would go back to Federal prison. Ms.

McGraw wrote a personal letter in support of pedophile Greer, asking Judge Alander to go easy on him at sentencing. Ms. McGraw also contacted my criminal defense attorney in order to threaten me. Mr. Greer's attorneys William Ward, David Grudberg and Amanda Nugent tried to get me thrown out of Mr. Greer's child rape trial in Federal Court by submitting an unsigned restraining order to Judge Shea. When I told Judge Shea that the order was unsigned he was shocked and scolded Mr. Greer's attorneys. Mr. Greer's criminal defense attorney William Dow tried to get me kicked out of Mr. Greer's criminal trial by filing a bogus sequestration motion. Judge Alander denied Mr. Dow's request and found said motion to be made in bad faith. Mr. Greer himself filed a frivolous defamation case against me, which he agreed to drop right after he got convicted for child rape. Mr. Greer was represented by Attorneys William Ward and David Grudberg in the defamation case. The Office of Adult Probation even gave me a hard time. During a surprise home visit the officer told me that the "people downtown" were mad that I was "wasting" my time with my blog and I should spend more time looking for a job to pay them more restitution. My restitution didn't go to the Federal government, it went to Wells Fargo. Wells Fargo could care less about me or my restitution payments. In almost all criminal cases a jail sentence is usually driven by the victim. The judge will listen to the victim and decide whether or not to impose some amount of jail time, or no jail time at all. Wells Fargo never showed up at my sentencing, never submitted any letters to my sentencing judge and never told the Justice Department that I should go to jail. My lawyer should have contacted Wells and asked them whether they cared whether I went to jail or not. Wells may not have responded, but you never know unless you try. My lawyer should have argued to my sentencing Judge that Wells had shown no interest in my incarceration. I don't know whether the argument would have made a difference, but I will never know because he never tried to make the argument. But who am I to complain? Quality Federal criminal defense attorneys, especially in white collar cases, are beyond the pay scale for the middle class. Federal prosecutors take advantage of this and in many cases prosecute guys least able to afford to put up a defense.

Goat I
Shabt
Tishal

Feds I
Jeffre

92 Yr (
Gettin
As Go.
Witne

Goat S
Sunni
Cape

Pot Ki
Braun
Gets A

Fed Ju
Again:
Fraud
Case

Goat's
To Agı

Tartag
Shaki
Jeffre

Goat (
Schec
16, 20

Reichl
Of Fal
To Avc

Epstei
Passp
Fake (
Hater:

Zelins
Land (
On Ev

Epstei
Mobst

F29

My exposure of Mr. Greer brought my blog much publicity, as well as credibility. My blogs about Otisville prison got the attention of the media when high profile guys were sentenced to Otisville, such as Michael Cohen, Michael "the Situation" Sorrentino, and others. The New York Times ran a couple of stories about Otisville. I spent six months helping the Times reporter with his article about Otisville. I also spent significant time helping a reporter for the Yale Daily News write an extensive article about Yale graduate Rabbi Daniel Greer. I also got quoted extensively by the tabloids when they wanted to know about "The Situation." I've provide material to the New York Post, the Associated Press and the Daily News. I have written articles for The New Haven Independent. A number of other blogs post links to my articles. I have been invited to speak at shuls and universities about prison life and the criminal justice system. I have been invited to speak on WBAI and the New Haven Independent radio show as well as the Heshy radio show.

I learned a lot about people and a lot about life in prison. I was locked up with millionaires and billionaires. Everyone wore the same green clothing. Everyone was subjected to daily doses of humiliation from the guards. Everyone was guilty of a crime, an enemy of the State, regardless of their status on the outside. We were all in the same boat, eating the same crappy food, using the same disgusting bathrooms and showers, and getting into the same fights over complete stupidity. Everyone was more or less equal and had to learn to get along. Having money didn't go very far in jail. If you had access to more than $300 a month you were considered rich. I realized that there are more important things in life than money. The billionaires were not any more happy with their lives than the poor shleps.

The reason I ended up in jail was because I wanted to make a few extra bucks. The big banks dangled huge sums of money to motivate guys to close sub prime mortgages. Waiters and busboys instantly became "loan officers." Closing lawyers' practices boomed. Some lawyers quit law in order to hawk sub prime mortgages. It was a feeding frenzy. I was caught up in the frenzy. Most lawyers are obsessed with money, status and power. I no longer subscribe to that mindset. Most lawyers don't realize

that compared with the billionaires I lived with, they are paupers with oversized egos fighting over pocket change. There are more important things in life.

I am now a convicted felon. Learn from my mistake. Drive the speed limit and obey the law!

I will continue to the fight for peace, justice and the American way. But I do need a few bucks to pay for my basic necessities. Please DONATE NOW to the cause!

Release date Nov 2, 2015- Otisville parking lot



mug shot taken on May 21, 2014, upon entering Otisville

Larry Noodles On HBO Vice:

Larry Noodles On HBO VICE

Watch lat... Share

Watch on

Larry Noodles On Bill Maher

US ATTY AARON ZELINSKY HAULED BEFORE CONGRESS

June 17, 2020 Larry Noodles 3 Comments

Aaron Zelinsky worked on a Federal prosecution that resulted in the conviction of the Donald's circus clown Roger Stone. Stone had threatened to kidnap his friend's therapy dog across State lines, a Federal offense. Aaron and his buddies at the US Attorney's Office recommended 9 long years of incarceration for Mr. Stone. Aaron Zelinsky doesn't care about Mr. Stone's hefty taxpayer funded prison tab. Aaron earns about $160K a year to prosecute drug dealers in the State of Maryland, a job a first year law student could handle when you have an air tight investigation already prepared by an army of Federal agents. Auto pilot Aaron.

The Donald tweeted his disapproval of Zelinsky's 9 year jail recommendation for Roger Stone. The Office of the US Attorney filed paperwork retracting the 9 year recommendation. The Judge only sentenced Stone to 3 years. Zelinksy and his US Attorney buddies withdrew from the Stone case in protest. The four prosecutors who

resigned in disgrace were all die hard Democrats and all spoiled Ivy League crybabies born with silver spoons in their mouths. Your "democracy" is controlled by the privileged 1% of America who graduated from the Ivies and who never worked a real day in their pampered lives.

Aaron made the news recently when he received a misdirected email from a right wing author named Jerome Corsi, whom Aaron must have interviewed as part of the Roger Stone investigation. Corsi was supposed to send the email to Dr. Zelenko but by accident he sent it to Aaron Zelinsky. Dr. Zelenko made national news when he claimed that his special drug cocktail that he dispensed to Satmar Hasidim living in Kiryas Joel cured them of COVID19. Dr. Zelenko is a Lubavitch Hasid (BT) whose patients were all Satmars. Satmars are not allowed to attend medical school, as it could lead to mixed dancing. Dr. Zelenko went on national news and talked about how he was saving Satmar lives from COVID19. The Satmars got mad because Zelenko put the spotlight on Kiryas Joel, a Satmar Hasidic enclave that shuns, and sometimes shoots, outsiders. Because of Dr. Zelenko the outside world thought that Satmar Hasidim were infected and spreading the virus. A few Satmars got accosted in Monsey. The Satmars kicked Zelenko out of Kiryas Joel. I'm not sure where Zelenko is practicing medicine now. I last heard he was working as a bartender in Greenwich Village.

The misdirected Zelenko email exposed the secret ingredients to Dr. Zelenko's cocktail. His cocktail contained such compounds as hydroxychloroquine, lysergic acid diethylamide, methamphetamine and Glass Plus. Dr. Zelenko claimed his cocktail was totally kosher. US Atty Zelinsky asked Corsi for all communications between Zelenko and Corsi. Corsi didn't demand a search warrant from Zelensky. Corsi, who is a Harvard educated right wing conspiracy theorist, handed over Zelenko's emails, and his own emails, to the Federal Government without putting up a fight. What kind of self respecting right wing conspiracy theorist hands over self incriminating emails to the Federal Government? What a phony! What a putz!

Connecticut Democratic Senator Richard Blumenthal called for an investigation into the Donald's alleged interference with the Roger Stone

Court
Goat
Rabbi
OB/G
His At
Buste
Micha
NOT E
In Oti
Micha
Relea
Otisvi
Goat
Appro
Haver
Alder
The R
Micha
Throw
Goat
Rabbi
BUSTI
Lakew
Inmat
Micha
Furlo
Israel
Bank
to Bril
Evasic
Launc
Feds
Relea
ProdF
Otisvi
For Su
Dov, E
In On
Real E

investigation. The Democrats in Congress just served US Attorney Aaron Zelinsky with a subpoena to appear and testify in the foggy bottom. Nobody cares about Dr. Zelenko anymore. Dr. Zelenko is old news. Zelenko was lucky the Satmars didn't put a pair of concrete loafers on him and dump him in the East River. Senator Blumenthal hasn't missed an opportunity to get the cameras to snap a picture of him kneeling and praying with protesters, while he does nothing in Congress to address their concerns. Yale graduate Blumenthal kneeled and prayed with fellow Yalie and convicted child rapist "Rabbi" Daniel Greer for many years, as Blumenthal was always one of the biggest politicos who was invited to pedophile Greer's annual fundraising dinner. Skull & Boneheads!

Yale Law graduate Aaron Zelinsky is the son of Yale Law graduate and Law professor Ed Zelinsky. Ed Zelinsky has testified before Congress as an expert in tax law. Ed and Ed's wife Doris Zelinsky, also a Yale Law graduate, were closely involved with Yale Law graduate and pedophile "Rabbi" Daniel Greer back in the 1980s and 1990s. They helped Greer purchase his child rape building for a dollar from the City of New Haven. They also owned considerable real estate with the pedophile rabbi. They are prominent and "respectable" members of the New Haven Jewish community. Mr. Greer is no longer a respectable member of the New Haven Jewish community, although he is still afforded respect by his chevra, his cult followers, such as Lancaster Rabbi Avroham Notis, Public Defender Darcy McGraw, Westville Shul "Senior" Rabbi Albert Feldman, Dr. Jay Sokolow, Gerald F. Lerman, Edge of the Woods owner Peter Dodge, Alderwoman Elizabeth McCormack, fellow Yale classmate Alan Dershowitz, Lieutenant Colonel and Deputy Commander of the 19th Medical Regiment Dr. Julian Unger Sargon, MD. See link

Both Greer and the Zelinskys are very litigious. They have spent a lot of money on lawyers over the last 40 years. Greer hit the national stage when he sued Yale University on behalf of his children, and on behalf of Harold Hack's children, to force Yale to refund Greer's dorm bill because his children were too religious to sleep in dorms that housed both boys and girls. At the time of the "Yale Five" lawsuit Mr. Greer was sexually

Super
Pattis
Jones
Gabb
Lawsu
Over
Cuom
Under
Trying
Otisvi
Otisvi
Limbo
Long I
Podia
Out of
Dean
Out of
Power
Who H
Break
Rabbi
Releas
Big Ho
FBI Ra
In Lak
Jewis
BOP V
Dean
Has C
Docto
Disab
Break
Otisvi
Otisvi
Camp
Down
Passo
Otisvi

F35

molesting 16 year old Avi Hack on a weekly basis. Avi is the son of Greer's best friend Harold Hack.

The Zelinskys are always involved in some kind of lawsuit at any given time. The Zelinskys owned waterfront property in Cape Cod. The Zelinskys got in a feud with their neighbors because the local zoning board wouldn't grant the Zelinskys a variance. Doris Zelinsky retaliated and created an eyesore in the neighborhood. She constructed a fence to block a nature walking trail and covered her fence with graffiti. The locals called the fence the "Zelinsky Spite Fence."

Recently Ed Zelinsky got sued for a million dollars where he was accused of statutory theft from a trust he managed. Zelensky settled that case on the eve of trial. The details of the settlement are confidential. Ed Zelensky filed an adverse possession lawsuit against his neighbor in Branford and claimed he had a 10 foot easement on his neighbor's property, which he used to practice his golf swing. Zelensky's lawsuit allowed Zelensky to file a lien on his neighbor's property, which made it impossible for his neighbor to sell. The neighbor called me and told me that he was forced to pay Zelensky close to $100K to settle the case, as he couldn't afford to have his new house remain vacant for years while Zelensky's lawsuit dragged on in Connecticut courts. Highway robbery! The Zelenskys recently filed a lawsuit against the Town of Branford claiming that the Town overvalued their waterfront property for tax purposes. The Zelinskys claimed that they were denied "equal protection" under the Constitution in that the appraisals of other waterfront property on their

street were far lower than their property. Cry me a river Ed. Your neighbors are probably on the Tax Board and hate you. You can't take your money with you. Donate your money to your rabbi, ie., convicted pedophile Daniel Greer's legal defense fund. Moshiach Now!

For God, For Country, For Skull & Boneheads, For Yale!

Tune in for more news from the inside, and the outside…

This has been a Larry Noodles production… DONATE NOW!

****

The opinions expressed in this blog are solely the opinions of Larry Noodles. These opinions do not necessarily reflect the opinions or views of Lawrence Dressler, JaredIvanka, Anthony Fauci, Kayleigh McEnany, Amy Fisher or Joey Buttafuoco. If you have been offended by anything that has been written in this blog, you need to file a formal complaint with Hashem. Moshiach Now!

Whoever has the ability to protest against the sins committed by people in his city, but does not, he is punished for the sins of the people of his city, unless he lives in the City of Williamsburg. Shabbos 55.

Rav Elyashiv rules that if a wicked man, or wicked goat, dies on Shabbos and his corpse is lying in the sun in shame, one should move the corpse by placing a child or loaf of bread on the corpse. Although one is permitted to agitate a wicked person while the wicked person is alive, now that the wicked person is dead and his nefarious activities have ceased, one should offer the wicked dead person a respectable burial. Larry Noodles is very machmir in the mitzvah of agitating a living wicked person or a wicked goat, as the case may be.

Rav Ula once said, "if you did something evil, making you a little wicked, you should not do more evil and become very wicked, just as one who got bad breath from eating garlic should not then continue to eat garlic and make his breath worse." Shabbos 31

Lt. Cr
Coher
Entici

Coron
Decir
Popul

Visits.
Chesl
SUSPI

Greer
Forecl
His Ye
Buildi

Greer
To Sa
Nighti
Street

Otisvi
On Hc
Coron

Rabbi
Fails T
Cash I

Avi Ha
Order
By 2n

Ortho
Black
Suppc
Stone

Promi
Attorr
Away
Larcei

Forecl
Value:
At $62

Micha
Heart
Otisvi

F37

Rav Abele, the famous dayan of Vilna, as a seven-year-old, was once ill and in bed. The doctor saw that his mouth was full of blisters. Turning to the boy's parents, the doctor explained that if the tongue isn't clean, it's a sure sign of a malfunctioning stomach. "Is there anyone who can truly say his mouth is clean?" retorted the sick child. "Chazal tell us in the gemara Bava Basra that most people are guilty of theft and everyone of loshon hora!"

"The hand of G-d lay heavy upon the he-goats, the crooks, the politicians, and the infidels, and He wrought havoc among them: He struck them with hemorrhoids." I Samuel 5-6

"If the Kohen gadol sins, bringing guilt to the people, then he shall bring for his sin which he has committed, an unblemished young bull as a sin offering to the Lord." Leviticus 4:3. "If your Rabbi sins and tells you to daven outside 770 waving a yellow flag during a pandemic, then you shall barbecue a rib eye steak and bring it to Larry Noodles as a sin offering." Noodles 4:13.

"It is better to be cursed by the Prophet Achiya ha'Shiloni, and repeatedly cursed out by Larry Noodles, than to be blessed by Bil'am." Taanit 20.

PLEASE HELP NOW! If you wish to help the Larry Noodles website defray the costs of court documents, transcripts, depositions, investigations & research, and make a tax deductible contribution to this non profit organization: First Amendment Watchdogs Incorporated, 516 Ellsworth Ave, New Haven, CT 06511. EIN number 83-0873639. Or go to this link and make a donation with your credit card or PAYPAL: DONATE

If you have been the victim of government prosecution and / or persecution, and have been commanded to surrender to a correctional institution, and are in need of advice, counseling, contacts, and information please contact me, everything will be kept strictly confidential: lawrencedressler@gmail.com or give me a call at 2037108137

If you have news tips from the inside, or the outside, please forward to lawrencedressler@gmail.com, ALL information, including you name will be kept strictly confidential.

Daniel Greer Jury Selection



Greer Video



Dr. Ja
Subm
Love l

New C
Come

Dulos
Jewis
Zip Ti
Murde

Westv
Rebul
Feldm
Goat

Appel
Rebul

Jon B
Into C
at 1:3

Russia
"Musc
Island

Pot Ki
Braun
Otisvi
2020

Latest
News

Westv
Subm
Suppc

Dr. Sie
The G
To Juc

Goat A
Crimi

Coher
Relea
Fellov

F39

Michael Cohen's Prison Doesn't Sound... Watch later Share



Larry Noodles On The Bill Maher Show Watch later Share



Share this:

Related

US Atty Zelinsky & His Jewish Prosecutors Resign In Disgrace
February 13, 2020
With 3 comments

Jews Lead Mueller's Team Against Roger Stone
February 22, 2019
With 2 comments

Harold Hack Sells His New Haven Home to Rev. Williams
June 15, 2017
With 3 comments

F40

< NEXT
State's Attorney Gail Hardy Protected Bad Cops For Years

PREVIOUS >
CT Leaders Offer Nothing But Prayers For Black Protesters

3 thoughts on "US Atty Aaron Zelinsky Hauled Before Congress"

Dan Hayes says:

June 17, 2020 at 6:33 pm

Larry,

Two questions; one general, the other particular:

1) What motivates these Ivy League lawyers who earn relatively peanuts in the Federal Service (self-aggrandizement, power, easy job with heavy lifting done by others, something else?)?

2) Any thoughts on the nefarious Andrew Weissmann who is presently ensconced at NYU Law School after destroying Anderson (later overturned 9-0 by the USSC), conducting Gestapo raids on Stone and others, and acting as Svengali to Mueller's Trilby??

Reply

Larry Noodles says:

June 17, 2020 at 8:01 pm

Stepping stone to get into politics, where they can earn the big bucks. $160K a year for a 9 to 5 job while their buddies are working around the clock at big law firms isn't so bad. I will have to look into Weissman…

F41

Banqu
Judge
Sanct
Greer
Fees
Goat I
Defan
Lawsu
Noodl
Movin
Spott
Comp
UCON
Profes
Goat I
Noodl
Interv
Regist
Exper
Yeshiv
Heariı
To Sh
Ewe R
New I
Comn
Goat I
of Tov
For Yc
Mayor
Alder
McCor
Pedor
Multip
Failur
Comp
Samp
Letter
Sente
Goat's
Shabt

PRESS FIRMLY TO SEAL

FSC MIX Board FSC® C116916

PAPER POUCH how2recycle.info

PRESS FIRMLY TO SEAL

PRIORITY MAIL
FLAT RATE ENVELOPE
POSTAGE REQUIRED

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

PRIORITY® MAIL

- Expected delivery date specified for domestic use.
- Domestic shipments include $100 of insurance (restrictions apply).*
- USPS Tracking® service included for domestic and many international destinations.
- Limited international insurance.**
- When used internationally, a customs declaration form is required.

*Insurance does not cover certain items. For details regarding claims exclusions see the Domestic Mail Manual at *http://pe.usps.com*.

** See International Mail Manual at *http://pe.usps.com* for availability and limitations of coverage.

FLAT RATE ENVELOPE

ONE RATE ■ ANY WEIGHT

TRACKED ■ INSURED

To schedule free Package Pickup, scan the QR code.

USPS.COM/PICKUP

how2recycle.info

P

US POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
PRIORITY MAIL IMI
May 19 2025
Mailed from ZIP 11375
PRIORITY MAIL
ZONE 1 FLAT-RATE ENV
10564877
Commercial

Part #156297-435 RRDW2 EXP 03/26

063S0011485631

USPS PRIORITY MAIL

Lawremce Dressler
6410 110TH ST
FOREST HILLS NY 11375

C025

Shipped using PostalMate
Pkg:412114

SHIP TO: Federal District Court
Clerks office
915 LAFAYETTE BLVD
BRIDGEPORT CT 06604-4768

USPS TRACKING #

9405 5118 9956 0287 5911 78

This package is made from post-consumer waste. Please recycle - again.