

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

-----X	:	
PATRICIA DRAGON	:	3:05 CV 771 (JBA)
	:	
V.	:	
	:	
I.C. SYSTEM, INC.	:	DATE: APRIL 7, 2006
-----X	:	

RULING ON PENDING MOTIONS

On March 17, 2006, United States District Judge Janet Bond Arterton referred this case to this Magistrate Judge for purposes of supervising discovery. (Dkt. #29). Discovery was scheduled to close on March 1, 2006. (Dkt. #22). On February 28, 2006, plaintiff filed her Motion for Rule 37 Orders (Dkt. #27), as to which defendant filed its brief in opposition on March 22, 2006 (Dkt. #33). On March 13, 2006, defendant filed its Motion for Modification of Scheduling Order (Dkt. #28), as to which plaintiff filed her brief in opposition eight days later (Dkt. #31).

Both motions are the result of counsel's inability to agree on dates for the depositions of plaintiff and defendant prior to the March 1, 2006 deadline. Counsel can, and should, be able to improve their communication efforts, so as not to burden the Court with needless motions.

Plaintiff's Motion for Rule 37 Orders (Dkt. #27) is denied, and defendant's Motion for Modification of Scheduling Order (Dkt. #28) is granted such that both depositions shall be completed **on or before May 12, 2006**, and all dispositive motions shall be filed **on or before June 9, 2006**.

This is not a Recommended Ruling but a Ruling on discovery, the standard of review of which is specified in 28 U.S.C. § 636; FED. R. CIV. P. 6(a), 6(e) & 72; and Rule 72.2 of the

Local Rules for United States Magistrate Judges. As such, it is an order of the Court unless reversed or modified by the District Judge upon timely made objection.

See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(written objections to ruling must be filed within ten days after service of same); FED. R. CIV. P. 6(a), 6(e) & 72; Rule 72.2 of the Local Rules for United States Magistrate Judges, United States District Court for the District of Connecticut; Small v. Secretary, H&HS, 892 F.2d. 15, 16 (2d Cir. 1989)(**failure to file timely objection to Magistrate Judge's recommended ruling may preclude further appeal to Second Circuit**).

Dated at New Haven, Connecticut, this 7th day of April, 2006.

_____/s/_____
Joan Glazer Margolis
United States Magistrate Judge