



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

NOTICE TO PARTIES REGARDING DISCOVERY DISPUTES

The standard scheduling order that Judge Bryant enters in cases before her provides as follows: “All discovery issues should be resolved in good faith by counsel in accordance with their obligations to the Court under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the District’s Local Rules. Before filing any motion relating to discovery, the parties are required to jointly confer with the Court by telephone, 860-240-3123.”

Parties seeking to confer with Judge Bryant telephonically regarding discovery disputes must comply with the following prerequisites:

1. Counsel for parties to discovery disputes must jointly contact Judge Bryant’s chambers to set up a date and time for the telephone conference. Except in extraordinary circumstances, Chambers staff will not entertain a request to schedule a telephonic conference unless counsel for all parties to the discovery dispute are on the telephone when the request is made to Chambers so that a mutually agreeable date and time for the conference can be selected at that time. Counsel must specify the legal and factual basis of the dispute.
2. Before contacting Chambers to schedule a telephonic discovery conference, counsel for parties to any discovery dispute are required by Rule 37(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 37(a)(2) to have conferred with one another and to have made a good faith effort to eliminate or reduce the area of controversy. A good faith effort requires the objecting party to state the legal basis and authority for any objection. All discovery issues should be resolved in good faith by counsel in accordance with their obligations to the Court under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the District’s Local Rules. Judge Bryant interprets the good faith conference obligation of the Federal Rules and Local Rules to require counsel to confer either face-to-face or by telephone; exchanges of correspondence are not sufficient in and of themselves to satisfy counsel’s good faith conference obligations. At the outset of the telephonic discovery conference, Judge Bryant will require counsel for each party to the discovery dispute to certify orally that they have complied with their good faith conference obligations under the Federal Rules and Local Rules.
3. Before seeking a telephonic conference, counsel for all parties to a discovery dispute must also agree upon the issues that they intend to raise with Judge Bryant and inform Chambers of those issues at the time the telephonic conference is scheduled. If the parties cannot in good faith

agree upon the issues to be raised with Judge Bryant, they shall so notify Chambers when they request a telephonic discovery conference.

4. If the dispute involves a written interrogatory, request for production, request for admission, deposition notice and/or subpoena (the “discovery request”), counsel for the party who served the discovery request at issue will, immediately following the telephone call requesting the conference, provide Chambers via facsimile with a copy of the particular discovery request at issue and the opposing party’s written response to that particular request. Judge Bryant does not need the entire discovery request and response but requires only the particular portions of the discovery request and response at issue. Before faxing a copy of the disputed request(s) and response(s) to Judge Bryant, counsel for the party seeking to fax the disputed request must inform Chambers of counsel’s intent to fax Judge Bryant a copy of the disputed request.
5. Other than the request at issue, and a concise statement of the applicable legal argument and legal authority, Judge Bryant does not require, and does not want, counsel for the parties to provide her with any briefs, documents, deposition transcripts, correspondence or written argument regarding the discovery issue in dispute. If Judge Bryant requires briefs or other papers, she will establish a briefing schedule during the telephonic discovery conference.
6. Counsel should agree in advance on which party will be responsible for instituting the telephonic discovery conference. Counsel should not contact Judge Bryant’s Chambers until counsel for all parties to the discovery dispute are on the telephone. Failure to participate in a scheduled telephonic discovery conference may result in the imposition of sanctions.

Revised 5/3/10