

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: CONVERGENT TELEPHONE  
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (TCPA)  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2478

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –20)**

On October 8, 2013, the Panel transferred 6 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 981 F.Supp.2d 1385 (J.P.M.L. 2013). Since that time, 27 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of Connecticut. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Alvin W Thompson.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Connecticut and assigned to Judge Thompson.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Connecticut for the reasons stated in the order of October 8, 2013, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Alvin W Thompson.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is  
pending at this time, the  
stay is lifted.

**May 18, 2016**

CLERK'S OFFICE  
UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: CONVERGENT TELEPHONE  
CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (TCPA)  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2478

**SCHEDULE CTO-20 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	3	16-00252	Worley et al v. Convergent Outsourcing, Inc.
MICHIGAN EASTERN			
MIE	2	16-11284	McCall et al v. Convergent Outsourcing, Inc.
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	3	16-01714	FEILER v. CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, INC.